Implications of Planck for Fundamental Physics Manchester, 28<sup>th</sup> May 2013

# Curvaton model for origin of structure *after Planck*

**David Wands** 

Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation, University of Portsmouth

## outline

#### Curvaton

Onon-adiabatic model for origin of structure

#### Osmoking gun for non-adiabatic models

- Non-Gaussianity
- Isocurvature perturbations
- Planck parameter constraints
  - Opower spectrum
  - Obispectrum
  - oanomalies
- Conclusions

#### curvaton scenario:

Linde & Mukhanov 1997; Enqvist & Sloth, Lyth & Wands, Moroi & Takahashi 2001

#### curvaton $\chi$ = weakly-coupled, late-decaying scalar field

 $V(\boldsymbol{\chi})$ 

χ

- light field during inflation acquires an almost scale-invariant,
   Gaussian distribution of field fluctuations on large scales
- quadratic energy density for free field,  $\rho_{\chi} = m^2 \chi^2/2$
- spectrum of initially isocurvature density perturbations

$$\xi_{\chi} \approx \frac{1}{3} \frac{\delta \rho_{\chi}}{\rho_{\chi}} \approx \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{2\chi \delta \chi + \delta \chi^2}{\chi^2} \right)$$

- **transferred to radiation when curvaton decays** after inflation with some **efficiency**,  $\theta < R_{\chi} < 1$ , where  $R_{\chi} \approx \Omega_{\chi,decay}$  $\zeta = R_{\chi}\zeta_{\chi} \approx \frac{R_{\chi}}{3} \left(2\frac{\delta\chi}{\chi} + \frac{\delta\chi^2}{\chi^2}\right)$ 

$$= \zeta_G + \frac{3}{4R_{\chi}} \zeta_G^2 \implies f_{NL} = \frac{5}{4R_{\chi}}$$

# non-adiabatic field fluctuations

see also multi-field inflation, modulated reheating, inhomogeneous end of inflation...



#### Cosmological perturbations on large scales

- - perturb along the background trajectory

$$\frac{\delta x}{\dot{x}} = \frac{\delta y}{\dot{y}} = \delta t$$

- adiabatic perturbations stay adiabatic
- entropy perturbations
  - perturb off the background trajectory

$$\frac{\delta x}{\dot{x}} \neq \frac{\delta y}{\dot{y}}$$

e.q., baryon-photon **isocurvature** perturbation

#### (non-linear) evolution of curvature perturbation on large scales





# **Distinctive predictions**

 Local non-Gaussianity requires non-adiabatic field fluctuations during inflation

### simplest quadratic curvaton: $V = m^2 \chi^2 / 2$

г

• first-order perturbations

$$\zeta = R_{\chi} \zeta_{\chi}$$
 where

$$R_{\chi} = \left[\frac{3\Omega_{\chi}}{4 - \Omega_{\chi}}\right]_{\text{decay}} \le 1$$

п.

second-order perturbations

$$\Rightarrow f_{NL} = \frac{5}{4R_{\chi}} - \frac{5}{3} - \frac{5R_{\chi}}{6} \ge -\frac{5}{4}$$

• third-order perturbations

$$\Rightarrow g_{NL} = -\frac{25}{6R_{\chi}} \left[ 1 - \frac{R_{\chi}}{18} - \frac{10R_{\chi}^2}{9} - \frac{R_{\chi}^3}{3} \right] \le \frac{9}{2}$$

• Predictions of the simplest quadratic curvaton model:

• for 
$$R_{\chi} \approx 1$$
  
• for  $R_{\chi} << 1$ 

$$f_{NL} = -\frac{5}{4} , g_{NL} = \frac{9}{2}$$
• for  $R_{\chi} << 1$ 

$$f_{NL} = \frac{5}{4R_{\chi}} >> 1 , \tau_{NL} = \frac{36}{25} f_{NL}^{2} >> g_{NL} = -\frac{10}{3} f_{NL}$$

single source consistency relation

#### Planck - new standard model of primordial cosmology



### Constraints on local non-Gaussianity

WMAP9 constraints using estimators based on optimal templates: 

Local:

 $-3 < f_{NI} < 77$  (95% CL) Bennett et al 2012

 $-5.6 < g_{NI} / 10^5 < 8.6$  Ferguson et al; Smidt et al 2010

LSS constraints on local nG from galaxy power spectrum: Local:

-37 < f<sub>NL</sub> < 20 (95% CL)

Giannantonio et al 2013  $-4.5 < g_{NI} / 10^5 < 1.6$  [cross-correlating SDSS+NVSS]

Planck constraints (Ade et al March 2013)  $f_{NI} = 2.7 \pm 5.8 (68\% CL)$ > Local:

### Constraints on local non-Gaussianity

WMAP9 constraints using estimators based on optimal templates: 

Local:

 $-3 < f_{NI} < 77$  (95% CL) Bennett et al 2012

 $-5.6 < g_{NI} / 10^5 < 8.6$  Ferguson et al; Smidt et al 2010

LSS constraints on local nG from galaxy power spectrum: Local:

-37 < f<sub>NL</sub> < 20 (95% CL)

Giannantonio et al 2013  $-4.5 < g_{NI} / 10^5 < 1.6$  [cross-correlating SDSS+NVSS]

Planck constraints (Ade et al March 2013)  $-9.8 < f_{NI} < 14.3 (95\% CL)$ > Local:

### Quadratic curvaton non-linearity parameter

Sasaki, Valiviita & Wands (2006) see also Malik & Lvth (2006)



# **Distinctive predictions**

- Local non-Gaussianity requires non-adiabatic field fluctuations during inflation
  - absence of evidence is not evidence of absence!
- Curvaton model can produce almost Gaussian primordial perturbations, |fNL|~1
  - constraints on fNL do give important constraints on curvaton models

### $f_{NL}$ bounds on quadratic curvaton



#### Primordial power spectrum constraints

Inflaton

$$P_{\xi} \approx \frac{1}{8\pi^{2}\varepsilon} \left(\frac{H_{*}}{M_{Pl}}\right)^{2}$$
  
tilt:  $n-1 = -6\varepsilon + 2\eta_{\varphi}$   
$$P_{T} \approx \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{H_{*}}{M_{Pl}}\right)^{2}$$
  
tensor-scalar ratio:  $r_{T} = 16\varepsilon$ 

slow roll parameters  $\varepsilon = -\dot{H}/2$ 

- Planck 2013: •
  - $n = 0.9624 \pm 0.0075$

$$-r_T < 0.012$$

Curvaton

$$P_{\xi} \approx \frac{R_{\chi}^{2}}{9\pi^{2}} \left( \frac{H_{*}}{\langle \chi_{*} \rangle} \right)^{2}$$
  
tilt:  $n-1 = -2\varepsilon + 2\eta_{\chi}$   

$$P_{T} \approx \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \left( \frac{H_{*}}{M_{Pl}} \right)^{2}$$
  
tensor-scalar:  $r_{T} = 18 \langle \chi_{*} \rangle^{2} / R_{\chi}^{2} M_{Pl}^{2} << 16\varepsilon$   

$$M^{2} , \eta_{i} = \frac{m_{i}^{2}}{3H^{2}} \int_{0.1}^{0.4} \int_{0.1}^{0.16} \int_{0.16}^{0.16} \int_{0.$$

0.0

0.94

**David Wands** 

1.00

0.96

 $n_s$ 

# Different perspectives

#### Inflaton viewpoint

- Tensor-scalar ratio bounds  $\varepsilon < 0.01$
- Scalar tilt n=0.96 then favours  $\eta_{\omega} < 0$ 
  - E.g., axion e.g., axion V( $\phi$ )= $\Lambda^4$ (1-cos( $\phi$ /f))

#### Curvaton viewpoint

- Tensor-scalar ratio bounds H<sub>inf</sub> (model-independent), not ε
- Scalar-tilt either
  - Large-field inflation:  $\epsilon \approx 0.02$  and  $\eta_{\chi} \approx 0$ 
    - e.g.,  $\lambda \phi^4$  (with N≈60) or m<sup>2</sup> $\phi^2$  (with N≈30 and late entropy from curvaton decay)
  - Small-field with  $\eta_{\chi}$  < 0 and  $\epsilon$  << 0.02
    - e.g., axion  $V(\chi) = \Lambda^4 (1 \cos(\chi/f))$

# Mixed inflaton+curvaton

$$P_{\xi} \approx \frac{1}{8\pi^{2}(1-w_{\chi})\varepsilon} \left(\frac{H_{*}}{M_{Pl}}\right)^{2} \text{ where } w_{\chi} = \frac{8\varepsilon R^{2}M_{Pl}^{2}}{8\varepsilon R^{2}M_{Pl}^{2} + 9\chi_{*}^{2}}$$
  
tilt:  $n-1 = -(6-4w_{\chi})\varepsilon + 2(1-w_{\chi})\eta_{\varphi} + 2w_{\chi}\eta_{\chi}$ 
$$P_{T} \approx \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{H_{*}}{M_{Pl}}\right)^{2}$$
  
tensor-scalar ratio:  $r_{T} = 16(1-w_{\chi})\varepsilon \le 16\varepsilon$ 

slow roll parameters 
$$\varepsilon = -\dot{H}/H^2$$
,  $\eta_i = \frac{m_i^2}{3H^2}$ 

# $f_{NL} + r_T quadratic curvaton + inflaton:$ $\epsilon=0.02$

Fonseca & Wands (2012)



#### local trispectrum has 2 terms at leading order



- can distinguish by different momentum dependence
- multi-source consistency relation:  $\tau_{NL} \ge (f_{NL})^2$

# $g_{NL} + \tau_{NL}$ quadratic curvaton + inflaton: $\epsilon=0.02$

Fonseca & Wands (2012)



Planck bounds  $f_{NL}$  and  $r_T$ 

### self-interacting curvaton

Enqvist & Nurmi (2005) Huang (2008) Enqvist et al (2009)

$$V(\chi) = \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\chi^{2} \pm m^{2}\frac{\chi^{4}}{f^{2}} + \dots$$

assume curvaton is **Gaussian at Hubble exit** during inflation, but allow for **non-linear evolution** on large scales before oscillating about quadratic minimum

$$\chi_{osc} = g(\chi_{*}) \implies \chi_{osc} = g + g' \delta \chi + \frac{1}{2} g'' (\delta \chi)^{2} + \dots \int_{0.5}^{\frac{\sigma_{max}}{\eta_{f}}} \int_{0.5}^{\frac{\sigma_{$$

e.g. **axion curvaton**: n=0.96 => m<sup>2</sup>=-0.06H<sub>\*</sub><sup>2</sup> => **"hill-top" curvaton**: g">0 Kawasaki, Kobayashi & Takahashi (2012)

$$f_{NL} = \frac{5}{4R_{\chi}} \left( \frac{g''g}{g'^2} \right) \quad , \quad \tau_{NL} = \frac{36}{25} f_{NL}^2 \quad \sim \quad g_{NL} = \frac{27}{4R_{\chi}^2} \left( \frac{g''g}{g'^2} + \frac{g'''g^2}{3g'^3} \right)$$



# **Conclusions:**

- Curvaton provides a simple non-adiabatic model for origin of structure
  - Local non-Gaussianity  $(|f_{NL}| > 1)$  requires non-adiabatic model
  - but, non-adiabatic model does *not* require significant non-Gaussianity
  - Tensor-scalar ratio bounds inflation energy scale, not  $\varepsilon$
- Quadratic curvaton well-described by simplest local f<sub>NL</sub>
  - $n \approx 0.96$  requires large-field inflation,  $\varepsilon \approx 0.02$
- Many variants
  - self-interactions ( $\tau_{NL}$  and  $g_{NL}$  still small)
  - curvaton+inflaton ( $\tau_{NL}$  could be large, scale-dependent  $f_{NL}$ )
- Many potential observables:
  - trispectrum, isocurvature (bi)spectra, large-scale power modulation...