Exo-Climatology

Collaboration: University of Exeter & Exeter Met Office.

We are modifying the Global Circulation Model (GCM) of the UK Met Office, called the Unified Model (UM) which is used for Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) and Climate Research on the Earth, to model the dynamical structures of 'Hot Jupiter' atmospheres. Comparing predictions from this model with observations from the Sing & Pont HST survey will allow us to:

(i) Investigate the efficiency of heat advection
(ii) Determine importance of deep circulation patterns
(iii) Test veracity of assumptions and initial conditions





Figures showing, left: the horizontal wind velocity at the top of the atmosphere after 1000 days. Below Left: zonally & temporally averaged (1000 days) zonal wind profile. Below: Right temporal (1000 days) & zonal mean temperature profile. All consistent with Held & Suarez (1995) and Heng et al (2011)

2, Tidally-Locked Earth



Figures showing, left: the surface temperature after 1000 days. Above: zonally and temporally averaged (1000 days) zonal wind at σ =0.25. Below temporally averaged (1000 days) meridional wind profile at σ =1.0. All figures are consistent with Merlis & Schneider (2010) and Heng et al (2011).

scheme).







3

4, 'Shallow' Hot Jupiter

Figures showing zonally and temporally averaged temperature, left, and zonal wind, right. Significant differences are apparent between our work and the work of Heng et al (2011), which is caused by the significance of the bottom boundary.

requires adjustment of the model forcing and domain (for

instance modeling higher temperature and pressure regimes).

some of the physical mechanisms (for instance inclusion of a more appropriate Equation of State, EOS, and Radiative transfer

Heng et al (2011), provide a progression of temperature forced

benchmarks, from Earth-like conditions to that of 'Hot Jupiters'.

5, Deep Hot Jupiter: HD209458b6 (High Pressures)

2, Tidally-Locked Earth (Zonally Asymmetric Temperature)

6, Realistic Exoplanets (Radiative Transfer, EOS, Composition)

I, Held-Suarez (Dynamical Core test)

We then include more detailed physics.

3, 'Shallow' Earth (Temperature Inversion)

Earth-Like, 'Shallow' model of Menou &

Rauscher (2009). Figures showing, zonall

and temporally averaged temperature,

4, 'Shallow' Hot Jupiter (High Temperatures)

More realistic modeling of exoplanets also requires adjustment of







Nathan Mayne, Dave Acreman, Isabelle Baraffe, David S.Amundsen & Gilles Chabrier



Goal:



Observational constraints will then be available from the HST survey, lead by David Sing, comprising eight 'Hot Jupiter' targets covering a range of estimated temperatures. We aim to model each target successfully observed using our modified UM.

> Wasp 12-2800K Wasp 19-2319K Wasp 17-1860K Hat 1-1500K Wasp 6-1340K Hat 12-1080K Wasp 39-1368K Wasp 31-1285K

5, HD209458b 6, Realistic Exoplanets

6

5

Deep model of

HD209458b from Rauscher & Menou

(2010). Currently in

preparation. This model requires deepening of the

atmosphere to much

higher pressures (~22)

bar) than those found i

Earth's atmospher

Once the temperature forcing benchmarks are complete we must then include treatments of the detailed physics within 'Hot Jupiter' atmospheres. This will include a Radiative Transfer scheme, adjustments to the EOS and composition, the inclusion of the main opacity sources (such as: H₂0, CH₄, VO, CO, TiO, NH₃), and the inclusion of deep atmosphere convection.

> Image Credits: or NASA satellite mosaic of Earth (<u>http://solarsytem.nasa.gov/planet</u>; o) image, ESO (<u>http://www.eso.org/public/images/eso0833a</u>). Hot Jupiter artists impression (oklo.org/2005/12/)

e), SOHO EIT, (http://sohowwwwnasa.gov

Why UM?

-Validated -Robust -Supported -Fast -Flexible Full Navier-Stokes Equations: (i) No hydrostatic equilibrium assumption (ii) No Shallow Fluid assumption (iii) No Traditional assumption

