Claudia Agliozzo¹

G. Umana² C. Trigilio² C. Buemi² P. Leto² A. Ingallinera¹ A. Noriega-Crespo³ J. Hora⁴

¹University of Catania, Italy

²INAF-Astrophysical Observatory of Catania, Italy

³Spitzer Science Center - California Institute of Technology, USA

⁴Harvard - Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA

YERAC, University of Manchester/Jodrell Bank Observatory, 18-20 July 2011 < ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Outline

1 Luminous Blue Variable stars

- What is an LBV
- Known LBVs
- Open questions
- A multiwavelength approach
- 2 A galactic LBV candidate: G79.29+0.46
 - State of Art
 - The radio observations: our analysis
 - Ionized component versus dust components
- 3 LBVs nebulae in the Large Magellanic Cloud
 - State of Art
 - The radio observations: our detections
 - Comparison between radio and H_{α}

What is an LBV

Luminous Blue Variable Stars

- $L \sim 10^6 L_{\odot}$
- T < 30,000K (Early type)
- Variable
- $M \sim 20 120 M_{\odot}$
- $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-6} 10^{-4} M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$ (stellar wind and/or eruption)
- post-MS toward Wolf-Rayet star





LBV stars are named after their peculiar luminosity, the P-Cygni profile of some spectroscopic lines and their spectroscopic and photometric variability

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Known LBVs

Known LBVs

LBVs are quite rare objects in our **Galaxy**. A recent census reports:

- 12 confirmed LBV
- 23 candidates LBV

A few reported in nearby galaxies.

E.g., in the Large Magellanic Cloud:

• 22 LBVs between effective members and candidates



Lifetime of the LBV phase is very short, about $\sim 10^4\,\text{ys}.$

うつつ 川 エー・エー・ エー・ ショー

└─ Open questions

Open questions

Most interesting aspects of LBVs

- major contributors to the interstellar UV radiation
- provide enrichment of processed material and mechanical energy
- might be direct SN progenitors
- Aspects not completely understood
 - the total mass lost during the LBV phase
 - the origin and shaping of the LBV nebulae
 - the mass-loss behavior

The total mass lost is a fundamental parameter to test evolutionary models.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Copen questions

Open questions

- Most interesting aspects of LBVs
 - major contributors to the interstellar UV radiation
 - provide enrichment of processed material and mechanical energy
 - might be direct SN progenitors
- Aspects not completely understood
 - the total mass lost during the LBV phase
 - the origin and shaping of the LBV nebulae
 - the mass-loss behavior

The total mass lost is a fundamental parameter to test evolutionary models.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Copen questions

Open questions

- Most interesting aspects of LBVs
 - major contributors to the interstellar UV radiation
 - provide enrichment of processed material and mechanical energy
 - might be direct SN progenitors
- Aspects not completely understood
 - the total mass lost during the LBV phase
 - the origin and shaping of the LBV nebulae
 - the mass-loss behavior

The total mass lost is a fundamental parameter to test evolutionary models.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

A multiwavelength approach

A multiwavelength approach

Full characterization of mass-loss properties to understand their role in massive star evolution.

Multi-wavelength observations that trace different emitting components coexisting in the ejecta. In particular

◆□▶ ◆帰▶ ◆ヨ▶ ◆ヨ▶ ・ヨ・ の々ぐ

- radio observations reveal the ionized gas and the stellar wind
- IR observations show the dust emission

A galactic LBV candidate: G79.29+0.46

State of Art

A galactic LBV candidate: G79.29+0.46. State of Art



Three-color image of G79.29+0.46 in the IRAC bands. Red: 8 μ *m*; Green: 5.4 μ *m*; Blue 3.6 μ *m*.

- Observed in the Cygnus-X star forming region (*D* ~ 1.7*kpc* (Jimenez-Esteban et al 2010, ApJ, 713, 429)
- First detection of a symmetric ringlike structure in the radio (by Higgs et al. 1994, TL, 291, 291)
- → Thermal gas shell
- IR observations (with IRAS and Spitzer) revealed a detached shell due to an epoch of high mass loss $(\sim 5 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot} yr^{-1})$

→ Dust shell

A galactic LBV candidate: G79.29+0.46

L The radio observations: our analysis

The radio observations: our analysis

For a point-by-point comparison with the dusty components, we performed high sensitivity, high dynamic range observations at EVLA.



Observing dates	EVLA	Frequency	Integration time
	Conf.	GHz	(min)
2010 June 1	D	1.4	90
2010 June 11	D	4.9	90
2010 Dec. 1	C	1.4	90
2010 Dec. 5	C	4.9	90

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ○ ○ ○

A galactic LBV candidate: G79.29+0.46

L The radio observations: our analysis

The radio observations: our analysis



- For each frequency and each configuration, datasets were indipendently calibrated
- then combined into a single uv dataset to improve uv-covarage
- Imaging process using Briggs-type weighting (robust 0) and a multi-scale CLEANing algorithm
- Final image noise 0.07 mJy beam⁻¹, peak 1.76 mJy beam⁻¹ and synthetic beam 4.6" × 3.1" at 6cm



◆ロト ◆昼 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● のへで

A galactic LBV candidate: G79.29+0.46

L The radio observations: our analysis

The radio observations: our analysis



- For each frequency and each configuration, datasets were indipendently calibrated
- then combined into a single uv dataset to improve uv-covarage
- Imaging process using Briggs-type weighting (robust 0) and a multi-scale CLEANing algorithm
- Final image noise 0.07 mJy beam⁻¹, peak 1.76 mJy beam⁻¹ and synthetic beam 4.6" × 3.1" at 6cm



◆ロト ◆昼 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● のへで

A galactic LBV candidate: G79.29+0.46

L The radio observations: our analysis

The radio observations: our analysis



- For each frequency and each configuration, datasets were indipendently calibrated
- then combined into a single uv dataset to improve uv-covarage
- Imaging process using Briggs-type weighting (robust 0) and a multi-scale CLEANing algorithm
- Final image noise 0.07 mJy beam⁻¹, peak 1.76 mJy beam⁻¹ and synthetic beam 4.6" × 3.1" at 6cm



◆ロト ◆昼 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● のへで

A galactic LBV candidate: G79.29+0.46

L The radio observations: our analysis

The radio observations: our analysis

Derived parameters (central object)

Central object $F_{5GHz} = 1.51 \pm 0.08 mJy$, consistent with Higgs et al. 1994. Assuming a stellar wind object:

Current-day mass-loss (Panagia&Felli 1975, A&A, 39, 1)

where

$$\begin{split} &v_{\infty} \sim 110 \text{kms}^{-1} \text{ (Voors et al. 2000)} \\ &D = 1.7 \text{kpc} \text{ (Jimenez-Esteban et al. 2010)} \\ &g_{\text{ff}} = 9.77(1+0.13 \text{log} \frac{T^{3/2}}{\nu}) \\ &(\text{Leitherere&Robert 1991)} \\ &T = 10^4 \text{K} \end{split}$$

 $\lambda = 6 \text{cm}$ 200 100 0 -100-200-200-1000 100 200 arcsec

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

A galactic LBV candidate: G79.29+0.46

L The radio observations: our analysis

The radio observations: our analysis

Other parameters (nebular gas)

- Ionized mass not estimated yet, because the interferometer does not provide for the zero-baseline
- Single dish observation just made (at Green Bank Telescope (GBT), June 2011)
- Work in progress on data reduction



 $\lambda = 6 \mathrm{cm}$ 200 100 arcsec 0 -100-200-200-1000 100 200 arcsec

A galactic LBV candidate: G79.29+0.46

L Ionized component versus dust components

Ionized component versus dust components

Comparison of the spatial distribution of the ionized gas component with the morphology of the dust component, superimposing the 6*cm* and 24 μ m maps (angular resolution comparable, respectively ~ 5" and ~ 6")



Our 6cm EVLA map (grey) superimposed to the Spitzer/MIPS 24 μm map (red, Kraemer et al. 2010, ApJ, 139, 2329).



Averaged profiles through the nebula at three wavelengths. Each profile was obtained from 18 individual cuts in the corresponding map and was shifted vertically by and arbitrary quantity for easier comparison. A galactic LBV candidate: G79.29+0.46

L Ionized component versus dust components

Ionized component versus dust components

Comparison of the spatial distribution of the ionized gas component with the morphology of the dust component, superimposing the 6*cm* and 24 μ m maps (angular resolution comparable, respectively ~ 5" and ~ 6")



Our 6cm EVLA map (grey) superimposed to the Spitzer/MIPS 24 μm map (red, Kraemer et al. 2010, ApJ, 139, 2329).

Time scale for the mass-loss episodes

The inner shell peaks at 100" and the second one at 200" from the central object. Assuming a distance of 1.7 kpc \Rightarrow 0.82 pc and 1.64 pc respectively.

 \rightarrow We estimate mass-loss episodes occured 2.7×10⁴ and 5.4×10⁴ years ago (assuming a shell expansion velocity of \sim 30 km s⁻¹)

ション (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本)

 $\label{eq:constraints} \rightarrow \mbox{ Constraints for stellar evolutionary models}$

LBVs nebulae in the Large Magellanic Cloud

State of Art

LBVs nebulae in the Large Magellanic Cloud State of Art

- LMC is an ideal laboratory to test if LBV is a metallicity independent phenomenon (as its metallicity is half the solar one)
- 22 stars are either effective or candidates LBV (classification based only on ultraviolet, optical and near-IR photometry and spectroscopic)
- Four of them show a nebula emitting in the H_α band (HST observations, Weis et al 2003)

Based on this, we have observed them at 3+6cm at ATCA (in April 2011)



・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

- LBVs nebulae in the Large Magellanic Cloud
 - L The radio observations: our detections

ATCA observations



First radio detection

Tipically: image noise $\sim 0.02 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, peak $\sim 0.02 - 0.9 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$, synthetic beam 2.5" \times 2.0".

000

LBVs nebulae in the Large Magellanic Cloud

 \square Comparison between radio and H $_{lpha}$



First results:

- Radio free free emission resembles the H_α one
- Radio flux densities are similar to the values estimated from the H $_{\alpha}$ but R143 (3 times brighter at the cm wavelengths than the expected value). As it is near the Tarantula star forming region, might the H $_{\alpha}$ nebula be affected by extinction?
- Integrating over to whole nebulae, spectral index ranging from 0.1 to 0.3, indicating a contribution from the central stellar wind to the nebular emission (usually optically thin free-free)

3

Higher resolutions images are needed to fully resolve the central objects

LBVs nebulae in the Large Magellanic Cloud

 \square Comparison between radio and H $_{lpha}$



First results:

- Radio free free emission resembles the H_α one
- Radio flux densities are similar to the values estimated from the H $_{\alpha}$ but R143 (3 times brighter at the cm wavelengths than the expected value). As it is near the Tarantula star forming region, might the H $_{\alpha}$ nebula be affected by extinction?
- Integrating over to whole nebulae, spectral index ranging from 0.1 to 0.3, indicating a contribution from the central stellar wind to the nebular emission (usually optically thin free-free)
- Higher resolutions images are needed to fully resolve the central objects

LBVs nebulae in the Large Magellanic Cloud

 \square Comparison between radio and H $_{lpha}$



First results:

- Radio free free emission resembles the H_{α} one
- Radio flux densities are similar to the values estimated from the H $_{\alpha}$ but R143 (3 times brighter at the cm wavelengths than the expected value). As it is near the Tarantula star forming region, might the H $_{\alpha}$ nebula be affected by extinction?
- Integrating over to whole nebulae, spectral index ranging from 0.1 to 0.3, indicating a contribution from the central stellar wind to the nebular emission (usually optically thin free-free)

3

Higher resolutions images are needed to fully resolve the central objects

LBVs nebulae in the Large Magellanic Cloud

 \square Comparison between radio and H $_{lpha}$



First results:

- Radio free free emission resembles the H_α one
- Radio flux densities are similar to the values estimated from the H $_{\alpha}$ but R143 (3 times brighter at the cm wavelengths than the expected value). As it is near the Tarantula star forming region, might the H $_{\alpha}$ nebula be affected by extinction?
- Integrating over to whole nebulae, spectral index ranging from 0.1 to 0.3, indicating a contribution from the central stellar wind to the nebular emission (usually optically thin free-free)

3

Higher resolutions images are needed to fully resolve the central objects

- Summary

Summary

- G79 shows that mass-loss can occur in different episodes
- Dust and gas can spatially coexist
- LBVs in the LMC show mass ejecta, but without dusty nebular components.
- Outlook / Future prospects
 - Recover the total mass content of the LBV nebulae in our sample
 - Extend the study of the LBV in the LMC in the IR when Herschel(*Heritage*) data will be available in the data archive
 - Compare Galactic templates to extragalactic objects (different metallicity environments), to assess how metallicity influences the LBV phase