



#### Joe Callingham (ASTRON)

Kenyan Radio Astronomy School, Nairobi, Kenya 6<sup>th</sup> of June 2018

Thanks to Dave McConnell and Bob Sault



**UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS** 

.... 111

## Why is polarisation info interesting?

- Radio is key to measuring polarisation, better than any other wavelength
- High-z seed fields (Widrow 2002; Subramanian 2007)
- Intergalactic Medium
- Intracluster Medium
- Interstellar medium
- Galactic Centre (Crocker et al. 2010; Ferrière 2010)
- > Main sequence star: HD 215441  $B_0 \approx 34 \text{ kG}$ (Babcock 1960)
- White dwarf: PG 1031+234 (Schmidt et al. 1986)
- > Pulsar: PSR J1847-0130 (McLaughlin et al. 2003)
- Magnetar: SGR 1806-20 (Kouveliotou et al. 1998, Israel et al. 2005)  $B_i \approx 10^{16} \text{ G}$
- Cosmic strings (Ostriker et al. 1986)
- Planck-mass monopoles (Duncan et al. 2000)

- B~10-30 10-20 G
- $B \sim 1-10 \text{ nG}$ ?
- B~0.1-1 µG
- $B \sim 1 \, \mu G 10 \, mG$
- $B \sim 50 \ \mu G 1 \ mG$
- $B_0 \approx 10^9 \,\mathrm{G}$
- $B_0 \approx 9 \times 10^{13} \text{ G}$
- $B_0 \approx 2 \times 10^{15} \, \text{G},$
- B~10<sup>30</sup> G
- B~1055 G









SGR 1806-20 giant flare (NASA)

Galactic Centre

#### Light can have a preferential direction



> Linear polaristion



 $\mathbf{E} = E_x \cos(\omega t - kz)\hat{x}$ 

#### And any angle inbetween





### Light can also be circularly polarised





#### How to describe polarisation?



- > Polarized state of light can be described by 4 parameters e.g.
  - Total power;
  - Fractional powers in horizontal and vertical linear components;
  - Phase relationship between the horizontal and vertical components.



#### **Stokes Parameters**



- > Ease of use over direct
- > Can be used for partially polarised radiation.
- > Not a vector quantity! Deals with power instead of electric field amplitudes.
- The correlator can produce ALL Stokes parameters simultaneously (not so easy in optical astronomy!)
- > Exact definition of Stokes parameters dependent on feeds of telescope.

$$I = E_x^2 + E_y^2$$
$$Q = E_x^2 - E_y^2$$
$$U = 2E_x E_y \cos(\delta)$$
$$V = 2E_x E_y \sin(\delta)$$

- For monochromatic waves
- I : total intensity
- Q : linear
- U : linear
- V:circular
- $I^2 = Q^2 + U^2 + V^2$

### How to measure polarisation – linear feeds





### How to measure polarisation – circular feeds





#### **Measurement of Stokes**



- > Conceptually use many feeds to measure the different orthogonal polarizations
- > At radio frequencies voltages can be measured and correlated!

I = XX + YY,Q = XX - YY,U = XY + YX,iV = XY - YX.

where XX is <  $E_{0}E_{0}$  and YY is <  $E_{0}E_{0}$  ("parallel hand" correlations) and XY is <  $E_{0}E_{0}$  > and YX is <  $E_{0}E_{0}$  > ("cross hand" correlations).

#### Making stokes images



- > Each antenna measures two orthogonal polarizations X and Y.
- > For every baseline, form all four possible correlations XX, YY, XY, YX.
- Calibration and other tricks
- > Appropriately combine the four correlations to get four Stokes "visibilities".
- Perform standard imaging with these Stokes visibilities to make Stokes images.

#### **Talking about the Jones**



> Jones matrices are "antenna based"

> Antenna gain:

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_x & 0 \\ 0 & g_y \end{pmatrix}$$

> Polarization leakage:



$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Leakage



For real-world feeds, the feed will respond both to the desired polarization, and to a small degree the orthogonal polarization:

$$E'_{X} = E_{X} + d_{x}E_{Y}$$
$$E'_{Y} = E_{Y} + d_{y}E_{X}$$

The leakage ("d term") is typically ~10<sup>-2</sup>. It is caused by alignment error, feed ellipticity, etc. Generic linear model (will suit practically anything).

#### **Rotation and parallactic angle**





#### **Rotation and parallactic angle**



- > Alt-az mount
- For a conventional alt-az mount, the sky rotates relative to the antenna feed -``parallactic angle rotation''
- > Instrumental polarization (leakage) will be in the frame of the antenna
- > Astronomical polarization will be in the frame of the sky.
- Instrumental and astronomical characteristics can be decoupled if the your observation spans a good range of parallactic angles.

#### **Depolarisation**



- > Depolarization = loss of polarimetric signal.
- Caused by the system polarimetric response not being constant (i.e. varying spatially, with time, across bandwidth etc etc) smearing out the polarimetric signal.
- Calibrated interferometers generally have very low depolarization (in polarimetric jargon, a system with no depolarization is called "pure").

# Some of the quanities we measure **AST**(RON with polarisation

 Rotation measures – provide integrated magnetic fields through Faraday rotation of linear polarisation – integral along the line of sight of the electron density and line-of-sight magnetic field

$$\mathrm{RM} = 0.81 \int_{\mathrm{source}}^{\mathrm{observer}} n_e(l) B_{\parallel} \, dl \, \mathrm{rad} \, \mathrm{m}^{-2}.$$

- > Polarisation vectors provide field strength and direction in plane of sky through computation of measured Stokes Q and U to obtain linear polarisation and polarisation angle  $P = \sqrt{U^2 + Q^2} \quad \Theta = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{U}{Q} \right)$
- > Gradient of Stokes Q and U provide direct imaging of interstellar turbulence changing of magnetic field orientation with gas motions  $|\nabla \mathbf{P}| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial y$
- Circular polarisation from synchrotron emission (it has a small, <0.1% Stokes I, component in Stokes V) – provide direct measurement of field strength and direction

$$m_{\rm c} = \epsilon_{\alpha}^{\nu} \left(\frac{\nu_{B\perp}}{\nu}\right)^{0.5} \frac{B_{\rm u,los}}{B_{\perp}^{\rm rms}}$$

#### Conclusions



- Huge amount of information in polarised light an something radio astronomy (since we store phase) is uniquely suited to exploit
- > It is hard... instrumental calibration, weak signals, depolarisation, many structures between us and the source
- > Worth doing, but make sure your know your instrument and calibrators!

