

- **Appearance, layout, figures; references**
 - Quite good and similar to students at a similar stage in Manchester; however not enough generic figures and one of an outflow in particular.
 - You have given a reasonable number of references but please do refer to them individually as you go along in the text. The reader needs to be able to check where you got some quoted fact from.
 - Example of use of references – there are many ways and each journal has its own references e.g. Wilkinson (ref 2) in 1982 or in 1982 Wilkinson² or Wilkinson (1982)
 - Also *always* give the attribution for any figures you use from elsewhere.

- **Content/clarity**
 - You have gathered a lot of the right material and clearly have put quite some work into this essay. Most of the issues are covered but I would comment:
 - 2 or 3 more diagrams needed – too much unalloyed text gets tiring for any reader
 - The logical flow of the essay could have been better in several places – the main example is giving the physics issues at the end when they could have been woven into the body of the essay . Not much mention of angular momentum and how to get rid of it.
 - Next time write the essay well before the deadline and then put it away for several days – when you come back to it you will be able to see how the flow could be better. Note that we all have to do this!

- **Standard of English**
 - Good – some errors however as noted in the comments in the MS-WORD file.