The stellar masses and specific star-formation rates of submillimetre galaxies

Michał Jerzy Michałowski

James Dunlop, Michele Cirasuolo, Jens Hjorth, Christopher Hayward, Darach Watson

Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh

28.03.2012 UK-Germany National Astronomy Meeting NAM2012 Introduction S Results F

Submm galaxies Fitting assumptions

Stellar masses of Submillimeter Galaxies (SMGs)

Two recent studies of *the same* sample of SMGs reached inconsistent conclusions:

- Michałowski et al. (2010, A&A, 514, A67): $\log M_* \simeq 11.5 \ {\rm M}_{\odot}$
- $^\circ$ Hainline et al. (2011, ApJ, 740, 96): log $M_* \simeq$ 10.7 M $_{\odot}$

Stellar models and star formation histories

Introduction Results

Initial mass function: Chabrier

- Stellar synthesis models: Padova tracks, Bruzual & Charlot (2003), Maraston et al. (2005)
- Star formation history (SFH)



Baldry et al. (2003, ApJ, 593, 258)

Fitting assumptions

Introduction Submm galaxies Results Fitting assumptions

Stellar models and star formation histories



Kriek et al. (2010, ApJ, 722, L64), Zibetti et al., (arXiv:1203.4571) Introduction Submm galaxies Results Fitting assumptions

Stellar models and star formation histories

- Initial mass function: Chabrier
- Stellar synthesis models: Padova tracks, Bruzual & Charlot (2003), Maraston et al. (2005)
- Star formation history (SFH)



Silva et al. (1998, ApJ, 509, 103)

Assumptions for this work

- Michałowski+10: Padova tracks, double SFH
- Hainline+11: Bruzual & Charlot (2003) / Maraston et al. (2005), constant / tau SFH
- Cirasuolo+10: Bruzual & Charlot (2003) / Maraston et al. (2005), single burst / double burst / tau SFH

Stellar models and star formation histories





- High excitation CO lines may underestimate dynamical masses (probe only the central dense gas)
- Using CO(1-0):
 - median $(M_* + M_{\rm gas})/M_{\rm dyn} \simeq 1.07$ for Michałowski et al. (2010)
 - median $(M_* + M_{\rm gas})/M_{\rm dyn} \simeq 0.72$ for Hainline et al. (2011)

Introduction Results

Stellar masses Specific star formation rates

Theoretical models



- Consistent: double SFHs, cosmological and merger sims
- Single SFHs: too low *M*_{*}
- SAMs: even lower M_{*}



- Double SFHs: SMGs are the most massive 'main-sequence'
 - galaxies Single SFHs: SMGs
 - are $\times < 2$ away from M-S

- Reported difference of *M*_{*} of SMGs can be fully explained by different SSP and SFH assumptions
- SMGs form the high-mass end of the 'main-sequence' of star-forming galaxies
- More details in Michałowski et al. (2012, A&A, accepted, arXiv:1108.6058)