Gravitational Lens Statistics with Herschel-ATLAS Jo Short with Elizabeth Pearson, Peter Coles and Steve Eales (AERDY

D

S

 $\mathbf{\cap}$

9

 \square

S

+

S

D

B

В

S

at

on

S

ed

 \bigcap

1

 \mathbf{O}

S

St

O

-+

S

-



Cardiff University

Herschel Space Observatory

- First space-based far-infrared to **sub-millimetre** telescope. ► Launched in 2009, expected to finish in 2013.
- SPIRE instrument images at 250, 350, 500 μm.
- Aim: to investigate cold/dusty objects.

Image Copyright: ESA



Gravitational Lensing

- Gravitational lensing occurs when light from a background source is distorted by foreground mass.
- **Strong gravitational lensing** occurs when this lensing effect is sufficient to generate multiple images.
- Here we consider the statistics of these strong gravitational lenses i.e. how many we expect to occur, at what redshift, magnification and image separation .

Lens Statistics Methodology

Herschel-Astrophysical Terahertz Large Area Survey

► Largest extragalactic *Herschel* survey (1/80th sky or 550 deg²). ► Science Demonstration Phase (SDP) covers 3% total (16 deg²).



Negrello et al. (2010)

- ► Negrello et al. (2010)demonstrated an efficient lens selection technique. Sources counts at $500 \mu m$ are expected to fall off quickly with flux, therefore sources with fluxes above a given cut-off are
- ► The probability of a source being strongly lensed, or the **lensing optical depth**, depends on:
 - \triangleright the mass function (n) which describes the halo number density in the the physical comoving volume element at a given redshift; and
 - \triangleright the **lensing cross-section** (σ) which is the area in the source plane where a source would be strongly lensed.

$$\tau(z_s,...) = \int \int n(m,z_l) \,\sigma(m,z_l,z_s,...) \frac{dD_l}{dz_l} \,dm \,dz_l$$

Trends as a function of $z_{\rm I}$ and μ

Dark Energy Density:

 $\triangleright \tau(z_l, \mu, \theta)$ decreases with increasing Ω_{Λ} (for a flat universe).

- **Lens Density Profile:**
 - $\triangleright \tau(\mu)$ favors higher μ for shallower central density profiles.

Mass Function:

 $\triangleright \tau(\theta)$ favours higher θ for mass functions which predict more higher mass halos.

very likely to be lenses.

- ► Five candidate lens were identified in the SDP data; around 100 are expected with the full data set.
- ► González-Nuevo et al. (2012) have extended the technique, utilising flux measurements from the other wavebands, and expect to find around 1000 lenses.

Results: $\tau(z_l)$ compared to SDP data

These plots show the probability of finding a lens $(\tau(z_l)/\tau)$ as a function of the lens redshift (z_l) , given there exists a source at redshift z_s that has been lensed, for two of the five H-ATLAS SDP candidate lenses.



Results: $au(\mu)$ compared to SDP data

These plots show the probability of finding a lens $(\tau(\mu)/\tau)$ as a function of the lens magnification (μ) given there exists a source at redshifts z_s which has been lensed.



Lines coloured cyan use the SIS cross section; yellow lines use the NFW cross section estimate. Vertical lines indicate the estimated magnification of two gravitational lenses found by Negrello et al. (2010).

A likelihood analysis of the two lenses for which magnifications are available shows that the SIS profile is preferred over the NEW profile (I as -0×10^{-5} and I was -4×10^{-14})

Lines coloured cyan use the SIS cross section; yellow lines use the NFW cross section estimate. Shading is the $\sim 1\sigma$ (68%) confidence interval. Vertical lines indicate the estimated location of gravitational lenses found by Negrello et al. (2010).

► A likelihood analysis using all five lenses shows a slight preference for the SIS profile over the NFW profile ($L_{SIS} = 0.014$) and $L_{NFW} = 0.012$).

In w prome (LSIS –
$$9 \times 10^{\circ}$$
 and LNFW – $4 \times 10^{\circ}$).

Conclusions & Future work

- ► So far there have been just five lenses identified the the H-ATLAS SDP data. However with the full data set there should be 100-1000 lenses.
- This is only enough data to look at the density profile and the data suggest that the SIS density profile is preferred over the NFW profile.
- However with the full data set, and more follow-up information, we will be able to learn a lot more about different astrophysical and cosmological parameters.