Circular polarization of AGN on the VLBI scale. New application of the gain transfer method

(if it is at all possible).

Elizaveta Rastorgueva' ", Vassiliy Vitrishchak''', Kaj Wiik'

' Dept. Of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, Tuorla Observatory, Finland " Metsähovi Radio Observatory, Aalto University, Finland "' Shternberg Astronomical Institute, Moscow, Russia

Synchrotron emission in AGN



VLBI observations Aof AGN



VLBI observations Aof AGN



Polarization of radiation: definition



Circular polarization: calibration

- Standard selfcalibration procedure: RR and LL separately
 - circular polarization signal is suppressed
- RR and LL together (CALIB aparm(3)=1)
 - circular polarization signar remains untouched and uncalibrated

$$\left\| \langle R_i R_j^* \rangle - G_{R,i} G_{R,j}^* \tilde{I}_{i,j}^{mod} \right\| = \min$$
$$\left\| \langle L_i L_j^* \rangle - G_{L,i} G_{L,j}^* \tilde{I}_{i,j}^{mod} \right\| = \min$$

$$\left\|\frac{\langle R_i R_j^* \rangle + \langle L_i L_j^* \rangle}{2} - Q_i Q_j^* \tilde{I}_{i,j}^{mod}\right\| = min$$

Circular polarization: calibration

$$\langle R_i R_j^* \rangle^{\mathrm{I}} = Q_i Q_j^* \langle R_i R_j^* \rangle = Q_i Q_j^* G_{R,i} G_{R,j}^* \langle R_i R_j^* \rangle^{\mathrm{true}} \langle L_i L_j^* \rangle^{\mathrm{I}} = Q_i Q_j^* \langle L_i L_j^* \rangle = Q_i Q_j^* G_{L,i} G_{L,j}^* \langle L_i L_j^* \rangle^{\mathrm{true}},$$

R-L ratio of gain factors should be determined using a **0 CP calibrator** (real or "constructed") throughout the whole observation

Gain transfer technique

(Homan&Wardle, 1999, AJ, 118, 1942; Homan&Attridge& Wardle, 2001, ApJ, 556, 113)

Gain transfer method: practice

- Calibrator has to be close to the target on the sky.
- On practice, all sources (except those with known high circular polarization) are used to calibrate each of them (gain curves are merged)
- The experiment for the circular polarization should be specially planned. It should include suitable calibrators, which should be observed every now and then between scans on the target. Preferred are the multi-source observations (>10, ~24 hours).
- CP is distributed randomely, it tends to cancel each other so that the resulting curve corresponds to 0 CP

Gain transfer method: combined curve



Is it possible to extend this method to the one-source experiments? (~5 sources, ~9 hours)

Number of CP observations is growing

(Homan, D. C. & Wardle, J. F. C. 1999, AJ, 118, 1942; Homan, D. C., Attridge, J. M., & Wardle, J. F. C. 2001, ApJ, 556, 113;

Homan, D. C. & Lister, M. L. 2006, AJ, 131, 1262; Vitrishchak, V. M., Gabuzda, D. C., Algaba, J. C., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 124; + more in preparation)



chance to find suitable 0-CP calibrators (genuine)



Why we believe it is possible to apply this method to a one-source experiment?

- Antenna gains vary on a timescales of several hours.
- Single-source experiments are short (~9 hours), and antenna gains do not change significantly on the time scale of the observation.
- We can transfer gains from a calibrator to the target, extrapolating them in time

- Dual-pol VLBA observations of 0716+714 in 2004, gain amplitude, phase and polarization angle calibrators were observed.
- 0420-014 is one of them, the best "0-CP" calibrator candidate.
- 3 epochs (Feb+May+Aug 2004) at 5+22 GHz

Source	Epoch	5 GHz	15 GHz	22 GHz	43 GHz	Reference
		т _с , %	<i>m</i> _c , %	<i>m</i> _c , %	<i>m</i> _c , %	
0420-014	1996 Dec	< 0.11	_	_	_	Homan et al. (2001)
0420-014	2003 Mar	_	< 0.16	_	-	Homan & Lister (2006)
0420-014	2005 Mar	_	< 0.16	< 0.30	< 0.50	Vitrishchak et al. (2008)
0420-014	2008 Nov	_	< 0.13	< 0.20	< 0.54	Algaba (2011) ¹



Our results

Epoch	Frequency,	mL	$V_{\rm peak}$,	m _c ,	Significance,	Location
	GHz	%	mJy/beam	%	σ	
2004, Feb 10 (A)	5	1	-1.22 ± 0.54	< 0.07	_	Core
2004, May 3 (B)	5	4	$+2.83\pm0.44$	$+0.32\pm0.06$	5.0	Core
2004, Jul 29 (D)	5	11	$+2.18\pm0.43$	$+0.20\pm0.06$	3.2	Core
2004, Feb 10 (A)	22	2	$+10.00 \pm 0.26$	$+0.25\pm0.17$	1.5	Core
2004, Feb 10 (A)	22	_	_	$+0.31\pm0.28$	1.1	Jet
2004, May 3 (B)	22	4	-5.63 ± 0.76	-0.19 ± 0.17	1.1	Core
2004, Jul 29 (D)	22	4	-1.02 ± 0.10	< 0.45	_	Core

5 GHz May and August 2004



Account of errors

- The relative uncertainty of the CP degree is 20%. It includes ucertainties due to:
 - smoothed antenna gains (estimated from the scatter of gain solutions)
 - the apparent CP of the calibrator (from the rms on the calibrator CP map)
 - imaging uncertainties (from the target source map rms)
- Measured is the difference between CP in 0716+714 and 0420-014.
- It reveals geniune CP only if 0-CP calibrator is verified.

Conclusions

- The gain transfer from the single 0-CP calibrator is possible to use, provided that:
 - Good account of errors is performed
 - 0 CP of the calibrator(s) is verified by
 - a quasi-simultaneous VLBA CP measurements in a large experiment
 - single-dish CP observations

Synchrotron emission in AGN and CP production: reading





- Rybicky&Lightman, "Radiative Processes in Astrophysics", Chap. 6
- Jones&O'Dell, 1977, ApJ, 214, 522
- Ruszkowski&Begelman, 2002, Ap.J., 573, 485
- Wardle&Homan, 2003, AP&SS, 288, 143

Our results: circular polarization, 3C279

