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The metal-poor planetary nebula PN G135.9+55.9 has a particularly-low oxygen abundance, that is a matter of an ongoing discussion. We report on our recent results of both new accurate observations by means of integral field spectroscopy with PMAS, and on the outcome of new radiation hydrodynamics models. Our goal with these studies was to calculate new abundance estimates. We find that expansion cooling, and deviations from thermal equilibrium, become increasingly important to the physical structure at metallicities that are as low as in this object. The resulting low electron temperatures cause substantial deviations in the estimated abundances compared to an approach using standard hydrostatic photo-ionization models.
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The metal-poor planetary nebula PN G135.9+55.9 has a particularly low oxygen abundance that is a matter of an ongoing discussion. We report on our recent results on the outcome of our new observations and new radiation hydrodynamics (RHD) models. Our goal with these studies was to calculate new abundance estimates. We find that expansion cooling, and deviations from thermal equilibrium, become increasingly important to the physical structure at metallicities that are as low as in this object. The resulting low electron temperatures cause substantial deviations in the estimated abundances compared to an approach using standard hydrostatic photoionization models.

In deriving new abundance estimates our final model meets four criteria

Our RHD models depend on the chemistry, the luminosity, and the temperature of the CSPN, along with properties of the previous AGB wind and the current wind of the hot CSPN. After a set of model iterations we selected a final model that provided the best simultaneous agreement with the observed line intensities (see the upper panel in the right-hand side figure). Additionally, the emission line profile and the surface-brightness structure that were observed by Richer et al. (2003, 2002), both compare well to our model. The apparent size and the Hβ-flux match at a distance of d=18 kpc.

The element abundances of our final model are on average ~1/13 of the mean galactic disk PNe values (Z⊙) – although the oxygen abundance is much lower, about Z⊙/80.

In comparison a thermally relaxed model (EQ) is unable to explain all observed line strengths simultaneously; due to a different sensitivity of the lines used to calculate the electron temperature of these models (see lower panel in the right-hand side figure). This finding might explain the current controversy in the literature on the actual metal content of PN G135.9+55.9.

Time-dependent effects are present regardless of other aspects of the modeling, such as the adopted geometry!

Comparison with the approach and outcome of another recent study

Another recent study of PN G135.9+55.9 finds abundances that are very similar to our. These authors use a different approach where they focus on the binary nature of the star. Here we list similarities and differences between our approaches. Note that these two studies are the first to point out the importance to consider the full wavelength range.


Use NEBEL – one-dimensional, fully time-dependent, self-consistently accounts for expansion cooling.

Consider the nebula, the CSPN star is excluded.

Model one ionizing star with the following parameters:
M = 0.595 M⊙, Teff = 138 kK, L = 3000 L⊙.

Object distance, d = 14 kpc; variable velocity field; nebular temperature, 15 k ≤ T⊙ ≤ 25 kK – T⊙ = 21 kK.

Abundances (He, C, N, O, Ne): 10.88, 7.90, 7.47, 6.74, 6.96 (given in the unit [12+log X/H])


PN G135.9+55.9 is difficult to observe and to model. Further studies are needed to understand differences.


[also see the poster by Maciel et al.]

Use CLOUDY_3D – three-dimensional, hydrostatic, accounts for expansion cooling artificially.

Consider the stellar as well as nebular component, accounts for expansion cooling artificially.

Two stars: M = 0.5–0.6 M⊙, T eff = 55 kK, L = 1700 L⊙ (X-ray) M = 0.8–0.9 M⊙, T eff = 170 kK, L = 2500 L⊙.

Distance, d = 24 kpc; constant velocity field, v exp = 30 km/s; mean nebular temperature T⊙ = 30 kK (?)

Abund. (He, C, N, O, Ne): 10.95±0.04, 7.84±0.30, 7.15±0.25, 6.82±0.33, 6.83±0.30 (given in the unit [12+log X/H])