Spectral Distortions of the CMB



Jens Chluba

Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics

L'institut canadien d'astrophysique theorique 46th GIF School: Cosmology after Planck

Paris, September 12th, 2014



Main Goals of this Lecture

- Convince you that future CMB distortions science will be *extremely* exciting!
- Explain how distortions evolve and thermalize
- Definition of different types of distortions
- Computations of spectral distortions (you should be able to do this yourself afterwards!)
- Provide an overview for different sources of primordial distortions
- Show you why the CMB spectrum provides a complementary probe of inflation and particle physics

References for the Theory of Spectral Distortions

Original works

- Zeldovich & Sunyaev, 1969, Ap&SS, 4, 301
- Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, Ap&SS, 7, 20
- Illarionov & Sunyaev, 1975, Sov. Astr., 18, 413



Yakov Zeldovich



Rashid Sunyaev

References for the Theory of Spectral Distortions

Original works

- Zeldovich & Sunyaev, 1969, Ap&SS, 4, 301
- Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, Ap&SS, 7, 20
- Illarionov & Sunyaev, 1975, Sov. Astr., 18, 413
- Additional milestones
 - Danese & de Zotti, 1982, A&A, 107, 39
 - Burigana, Danese & de Zotti, 1991, ApJ, 379, 1
 - Hu & Silk, 1993, Phys. Rev. D, 48, 485
 - Hu, 1995, PhD thesis

References for the Theory of Spectral Distortions

Original works

- Zeldovich & Sunyaev, 1969, Ap&SS, 4, 301
- Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, Ap&SS, 7, 20
- Illarionov & Sunyaev, 1975, Sov. Astr., 18, 413
- Additional milestones
 - Danese & de Zotti, 1982, A&A, 107, 39
 - Burigana, Danese & de Zotti, 1991, ApJ, 379, 1
 - Hu & Silk, 1993, Phys. Rev. D, 48, 485
 - Hu, 1995, PhD thesis
- More recent overviews
 - Sunyaev & JC, 2009, AN, 330, 657
 - JC & Sunyaev, 2012, MNRAS, 419, 1294
 - JC, MNRAS, 436, 2232 & ArXiv:1405.6938

Cosmic Microwave Background Anisotropies



Planck all-sky temperature map CMB has a blackbody spectrum in every direction
tiny variations of the CMB temperature Δ*T*/*T* ~ 10⁻⁵

CMB constraints on N_{eff} and Y_p



Planck Collaboration, 2013, paper XV

- Helium determination from CMB consistent with SBNN prediction
- CMB constraint on N_{eff} competitive
- Partial degeneracy with Y_p and running
- Some tension between different data sets



All kind of fun new science with the CMB!





Planck Collaboration, 2013, paper XVII

SZ clusters on the sky







Planck Collaboration, 2013, paper XXVII

- *Non-Gaussianity* (test of inflation models)
- Topology
- CMB anomalies
- CIB and Galactic science

CMB anisotropies as probe of Inflation



Big goal/hope: detection of B-polarization
 Plenty of progress over ground/balloon: BICEP2, space: Planck N LiteBIRD, 01

0.94 0.96 0.98 1.00°

Cosmic Microwave Background Anisotropies



Planck all-sky temperature map CMB has a blackbody spectrum in every direction
tiny variations of the CMB temperature Δ*T*/*T* ~ 10⁻⁵

Cosmic Microwave Background Anisotropies



Planck all-sky temperature map CMB has a blackbody spectrum in every direction
tiny variations of the CMB temperature Δ*T*/*T* ~ 10⁻⁵

CMB provides another independent piece of information!

COBE/FIRAS

 $T_0 = (2.726 \pm 0.001) \,\mathrm{K}$

Absolute measurement required! One has to go to space...

Mather et al., 1994, ApJ, 420, 439 Fixsen et al., 1996, ApJ, 473, 576 Fixsen, 2003, ApJ, 594, 67 Fixsen, 2009, ApJ, 707, 916

 CMB monopole is 10000 - 100000 times larger than the fluctuations

COBE / FIRAS (Far InfraRed Absolute Spectrophotometer)



 $T_0 = 2.725 \pm 0.001 \,\mathrm{K}$ $|y| \le 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ $|\mu| \le 9 \times 10^{-5}$

Mather et al., 1994, ApJ, 420, 439 Fixsen et al., 1996, ApJ, 473, 576 Fixsen et al., 2003, ApJ, 594, 67



Standard types of primordial CMB distortions

Compton y-distortion



Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1980, ARAA, 18, 537

- also known from thSZ effect
- up-scattering of CMB photon
- important at late times (z<50000)
- scattering inefficient

Chemical potential μ -distortion



Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, ApSS, 2, 66

- important at very times (z>50000)
- scattering very efficient

COBE / FIRAS (Far InfraRed Absolute Spectrophotometer)



$T_0 = 2.725 \pm 0.001 \,\mathrm{K}$ $|y| \le 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ $|\mu| \le 9 \times 10^{-5}$

Mather et al., 1994, ApJ, 420, 439 Fixsen et al., 1996, ApJ, 473, 576 Fixsen et al., 2003, ApJ, 594, 67



Only very small distortions of CMB spectrum are still allowed!

No primordial distortion found so far!? Why are we at all talking about this then?

Physical mechanisms that lead to spectral distortions

- Cooling by adiabatically expanding ordinary matter (JC, 2005; JC & Sunyaev 2011; Khatri, Sunyaev & JC, 2011)
- Heating by *decaying* or *annihilating* relic particles (Kawasaki et al., 1987; Hu & Silk, 1993; McDonald et al., 2001; JC, 2005; JC & Sunyaev, 2011; JC, 2013; JC & Jeong, 2013)
- Evaporation of primordial black holes & superconducting strings (Carr et al. 2010; Ostriker & Thompson, 1987; Tashiro et al. 2012; Pani & Loeb, 2013)
- Dissipation of primordial acoustic modes & magnetic fields

(Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970; Daly 1991; Hu et al. 1994; JC & Sunyaev, 2011; JC et al. 2012 - Jedamzik et al. 2000; Kunze & Komatsu, 2013)

Cosmological recombination radiation
 (Zeldovich et al., 1968; Peebles, 1968; Dubrovich, 1977; Rubino-Martin et al., 2006; JC & Sunyaev, 2006; Sunyaev & JC, 2009)

"high" redshifts

"low" redshifts

Standard sources

of distortions

- Signatures due to first supernovae and their remnants (Oh, Cooray & Kamionkowski, 2003)
- Shock waves arising due to large-scale structure formation

(Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1972; Cen & Ostriker, 1999)

SZ-effect from clusters; effects of reionization

(Refregier et al., 2003; Zhang et al. 2004; Trac et al. 2008)

more exotic processes

(Lochan et al. 2012; Bull & Kamionkowski, 2013; Brax et al., 2013; Tashiro et al. 2013)

pre-recombination epoch

Dramatic improvements in angular resolution and sensitivity over the past decades!



Dramatic improvements in angular resolution and sensitivity over the past decades!



PIXIE: Primordial Inflation Explorer





- 400 spectral channel in the frequency range 30 GHz and 6THz (Δv ~ 15GHz)
- about 1000 (!!!) times more sensitive than COBE/FIRAS
- B-mode polarization from inflation ($r \approx 10^{-3}$)
- improved limits on μ and γ

was proposed 2011 as NASA EX mission (i.e. cost ~ 200 M\$)



Kogut et al, JCAP, 2011, arXiv:1105.2044

Enduring Quests Daring Visions

NASA Astrophysics in the Next Three Decades



How does the Universe work?

"Measure the spectrum of the CMB with precision several orders of magnitude higher than COBE FIRAS, from a moderate-scale mission or an instrument on CMB Polarization Surveyor."

New call from NASA expected ~2-3 years from now

Polarized Radiation Imaging and Spectroscopy Mission PRISM

Probing cosmic structures and radiation with the ultimate polarimetric spectro-imaging of the microwave and far-infrared sky

> Spokesperson: Paolo de Bernardis e-mail: paolo.debernardis@roma1.infn.it — tel: + 39 064 991 4271

1.1-1

Instruments:

- L-class ESA mission
- White paper, May 24th, 2013
- Imager:
 - polarization sensitive
 - 3.5m telescope [arcmin resolution at highest frequencies]
 - 30GHz-6THz [30 broad (Δv/v~25%) and 300 narrow (Δv/v~2.5%) bands]
- Spectrometer:
 - FTS similar to PIXIE
 - 30GHz-6THz (Δv~15 & 0.5 GHz)

Some of the science goals:

- B-mode polarization from inflation ($r \approx 5 \times 10^{-4}$)
- count all SZ clusters >10¹⁴ M_{sun}
- CIB/large scale structure
- Galactic science
- CMB spectral distortions

More info at: http://www.prism-mission.org/

Polarized Radiation Imaging and Spectroscopy Mission PRISION CORET

Probing cosmic structures and radiation with the ultimate polarimetric spectro-imaging of the microwave and far-infrared sky

M4 proposal to ESA currently under discussion but spectrometer presently not part of baseline :(



e-mail: paolo.debernardis@roma1.infn.it — tel: + 39 064 991 4271

Instruments:

- L-class ESA mission
- White paper, May 24th, 2013
- Imager:
 - polarization sensitive
 - 3.5m telescope [arcmin resolution at highest frequencies]
 - 30GHz-6THz [30 broad (Δv/v~25%) and 300 narrow (Δv/v~2.5%) bands]
- Spectrometer:
 - FTS similar to PIXIE - 20GHz-6THz (Δv~15 & 0.5 CHz)

Some of the science goals:

- B-mode polarization from inflation ($r \approx 5 \times 10^{-4}$)
- count all SZ clusters >10¹⁴ M_{sun}
- CIB/large scale structure
- Galactic science
- CMD spectral distortions

More info at: http://www.prism-mission.org/







Full thermodynamic equilibrium (certainly valid at very high redshift)

- CMB has a blackbody spectrum at every time (not affected by expansion)
- Photon number density and energy density determined by temperature T_{v}

$$\begin{split} & T_{\gamma} \sim 2.726 \, (1+z) \, \mathrm{K} \\ & N_{\gamma} \sim 411 \, \mathrm{cm}^{-3} \, (1+z)^3 \sim 2 \times 10^9 \, N_\mathrm{b} \, (\text{entropy density dominated by photons}) \\ & \rho_{\gamma} \sim 5.1 \times 10^{-7} \, m_\mathrm{e} c^2 \, \mathrm{cm}^{-3} \, (1+z)^4 \sim \rho_\mathrm{b} \, \mathrm{x} \, (1+z) \, / \, 925 \sim 0.26 \, \mathrm{eV} \, \mathrm{cm}^{-3} \, (1+z)^4 \end{split}$$

Full thermodynamic equilibrium (certainly valid at very high redshift)

- CMB has a blackbody spectrum at every time (not affected by expansion)
- Photon number density and energy density determined by temperature T_{γ}

$$\begin{split} & T_{\gamma} \sim 2.726 \ (1+z) \ \mathrm{K} \\ & N_{\gamma} \sim 411 \ \mathrm{cm^{-3}} \ (1+z)^3 \sim 2 \times 10^9 \ N_{\mathrm{b}} \ (entropy \ density \ dominated \ by \ photons) \\ & \rho_{\gamma} \sim 5.1 \times 10^{-7} \ m_{\mathrm{e}} c^2 \ \mathrm{cm^{-3}} \ (1+z)^4 \sim \rho_{\mathrm{b}} \ \mathrm{x} \ (1+z) \ / \ 925 \ \sim 0.26 \ \mathrm{eV} \ \mathrm{cm^{-3}} \ (1+z)^4 \end{split}$$

Perturbing full equilibrium by

- Energy injection (interaction matter ← → photons)
- Production of (energetic) photons and/or particles (i.e. change of entropy)
 - → CMB spectrum deviates from a pure blackbody
 - → thermalization process (partially) erases distortions

(Compton scattering, double Compton and Bremsstrahlung in the expanding Universe)

Full thermodynamic equilibrium (certainly valid at very high redshift)

- CMB has a blackbody spectrum at every time (not affected by expansion)
- Photon number density and energy density determined by temperature T_{γ}

$$\begin{split} & T_{\gamma} \sim 2.726 \ (1+z) \ \mathrm{K} \\ & N_{\gamma} \sim 411 \ \mathrm{cm^{-3}} \ (1+z)^3 \sim 2 \times 10^9 \ N_{\mathrm{b}} \ (entropy \ density \ dominated \ by \ photons) \\ & \rho_{\gamma} \sim 5.1 \times 10^{-7} \ m_{\mathrm{e}} c^2 \ \mathrm{cm^{-3}} \ (1+z)^4 \sim \rho_{\mathrm{b}} \ \mathrm{x} \ (1+z) \ / \ 925 \ \sim 0.26 \ \mathrm{eV} \ \mathrm{cm^{-3}} \ (1+z)^4 \end{split}$$

Perturbing full equilibrium by

- Energy injection (interaction matter ← → photons)
- Production of (energetic) photons and/or particles (i.e. change of entropy)
 - → CMB spectrum deviates from a pure blackbody
 - thermalization process (partially) erases distortions

(Compton scattering, double Compton and Bremsstrahlung in the expanding Universe)

Measurements of CMB spectrum place very tight constraints on the thermal history of our Universe!

• Which $\Delta n_{\nu} = c^2 \Delta N_{\nu} / \nu^2$ is needed to change one blackbody to another?

• Which $\Delta n_{\nu} = c^2 \overline{\Delta N_{\nu}/\nu^2}$ is needed to change one blackbody to another? $n_{\nu}^{\text{bb}}(T') \approx n_{\nu}^{\text{bb}}(T) + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{\text{bb}}(T)}{\partial T} (T' - T) + \mathcal{O}[(T' - T)^2]$

• Which $\Delta n_{\nu} = c^2 \Delta N_{\nu} / \nu^2$ is needed to change one blackbody to another? $n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T') \approx n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T) + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T)}{\partial T} (T' - T) + \mathcal{O}[(T' - T)^2]$ $\Delta n_{\nu} = \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T)}{\partial T}(T'-T) = \frac{x \, {\rm e}^x}{({\rm e}^x - 1)^2} \frac{T'-T}{T} \equiv G(x) \frac{\Delta T}{T}$ Defines spectrum of

a temperature shift!

• Which $\Delta n_{\nu} = c^2 \Delta N_{\nu} / \nu^2$ is needed to change one blackbody to another? $n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T') \approx n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T) + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T)}{\partial T} \left(T' - T\right) + \mathcal{O}\left[\left(T' - T\right)^2\right]$ $\Delta n_{\nu} = \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T)}{\partial T} (T' - T) = \frac{x \, \mathrm{e}^x}{(\mathrm{e}^x - 1)^2} \frac{T' - T}{T} \equiv G(x) \frac{\Delta T}{T}$ **Defines spectrum of** ν [GHz] 100 10 a temperature shift! Temperature shift G(x) $x = \frac{h\nu}{kT_{\gamma}}$ Intensity ($\sim x^3 n$) $G(x) = xe^{x}/(e^{x}-1)^{2}$ $\nu \simeq 57 \, x \, \mathrm{GHz}$ 10 0.1 20 $x = h v / k T_{y}$

• Which $\Delta n_{\nu} = c^2 \Delta N_{\nu} / \nu^2$ is needed to change one blackbody to another? $n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T') \approx n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T) + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T)}{\partial T} \left(T' - T\right) + \mathcal{O}\left[(T' - T)^2\right]$ $\Delta n_{\nu} = \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T)}{\partial T} (T' - T) = \frac{x \, \mathrm{e}^x}{(\mathrm{e}^x - 1)^2} \frac{T' - T}{T} \equiv G(x) \frac{\Delta T}{T}$ Defines spectrum of ν [GHz] 100 10 a temperature shift! Temperature shift G(x)Adiabatic condition $x = \frac{h\nu}{kT_{\gamma}}$ $\frac{\Delta \rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}} \approx \frac{4}{3} \, \frac{\Delta N_{\gamma}}{N^{\rm bb}}$ Intensity ($\sim x^3 n$) $G(x) = xe^{x}/(e^{x}-1)^{2}$ $\nu \simeq 57 \, x \, \text{GHz}$ 10 0.1 20 $x = h v / k T_{u}$

• Which $\Delta n_{\nu} = c^2 \Delta N_{\nu} / \nu^2$ is needed to change one blackbody to another? $n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T') \approx n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T) + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T)}{\partial T} (T' - T) + \mathcal{O}[(T' - T)^2]$ $\Delta n_{\nu} = \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{\rm bb}(T)}{\partial T} (T' - T) = \frac{x \, \mathrm{e}^x}{(\mathrm{e}^x - 1)^2} \frac{T' - T}{T} \equiv G(x) \frac{\Delta T}{T}$ Defines spectrum of ν [GHz] 100 10 a temperature shift! Temperature shift G(x)Adiabatic condition • $x = \frac{h\nu}{kT_{\gamma}}$ $\frac{\Delta \rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}} \approx \frac{4}{3} \, \frac{\Delta N_{\gamma}}{N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}}$ Intensity ($\sim x^3 n$) Efficient thermalization $\leftrightarrow G(x)$ $G(x) = xe^{x}/(e^{x}-1)^{2}$ $\nu \simeq 57 \, x \, \text{GHz}$ 10 0.1 20 $x = h v / k T_{u}$
Spectrum of a Temperature Shift

• Which $\Delta n_{\nu} = c^2 \Delta N_{\nu} / \nu^2$ is needed to change one blackbody to another? $n_{\nu}^{bb}(T') \approx n_{\nu}^{bb}(T) + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{bb}(T)}{\partial T} (T' - T) + \mathcal{O}[(T' - T)^2]$ $\Delta n_{\nu} = \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{bb}(T)}{\partial T} (T' - T) = \frac{x e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} \frac{T' - T}{T} \equiv G(x) \frac{\Delta T}{T}$

> > Defines spectrum of a temperature shift!



Adiabatic condition

 $\frac{\Delta \rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}} \approx \frac{4}{3} \, \frac{\Delta N_{\gamma}}{N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}}$

• Efficient thermalization $\leftrightarrow G(x)$

 But: Monopole temperature does not really teach you anything

Spectrum of a Temperature Shift

• Which $\Delta n_{\nu} = c^2 \Delta N_{\nu} / \nu^2$ is needed to change one blackbody to another? $n_{\nu}^{bb}(T') \approx n_{\nu}^{bb}(T) + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{bb}(T)}{\partial T} (T' - T) + \mathcal{O}[(T' - T)^2]$ $\Delta n_{\nu} = \frac{\partial n_{\nu}^{bb}(T)}{\partial T} (T' - T) = \frac{x e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} \frac{T' - T}{T} \equiv G(x) \frac{\Delta T}{T}$

> > Defines spectrum of a temperature shift!



Adiabatic condition

 $\frac{\Delta \rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}} \approx \frac{4}{3} \, \frac{\Delta N_{\gamma}}{N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}}$

• Efficient thermalization $\leftrightarrow G(x)$

 But: Monopole temperature does not really teach you anything

• Only lowest order in $\Delta T/T$ \rightarrow superposition of blackbodies How does the thermalization process work?

Some important ingredients

Plasma fully ionized before recombination (z~1000)

- \rightarrow free electrons, protons and helium nuclei
- → photon dominated (~2 Billion photons per baryon)
- Coulomb scattering $e + p \iff e' + p$
 - \rightarrow electrons in full thermal equilibrium with baryons
 - \rightarrow electrons follow thermal Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution
 - \rightarrow efficient down to very low redshifts (z ~ 10-100)
- Medium homogeneous and isotropic on large scales
 - \rightarrow thermalization problem rather simple!
 - \rightarrow in principle allows very precise computations
- Hubble expansion
 - → adiabatic cooling of photons $[T_{\gamma} \sim (1+z)]$ and ordinary matter $[T_{\rm m} \sim (1+z)^2]$
 - \rightarrow redshifting of photons

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial \hat{p}_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{p}_{i}}{\partial t} = \mathcal{C}[n]$$
Photon
occupation
number

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial \hat{p}_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{p}_{i}}{\partial t} = \mathcal{C}[n]$$
Photon occupation comparison
Liouville operator
Collision term number



redshifting term



• Collision term: $C[n] = \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{\mathrm{BR}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{\mathrm{DC}}$





$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial \hat{p}_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{p}_{i}}{\partial t} = \mathcal{C}[n]$$

• Isotropy & Homogeneity:
$$\implies \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial t} - H\nu \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial \nu} = C[n]$$

• Collision term:
$$C[n] = \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{\mathrm{BR}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{\mathrm{DC}}$$

• Full equilibrium: $\mathcal{C}[n] \equiv 0 \Rightarrow$ blackbody spectrum conserved

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial \hat{p}_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{p}_{i}}{\partial t} = \mathcal{C}[n]$$

• Isotropy & Homogeneity:
$$\implies \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial t} - H\nu \frac{\partial n_{\nu}}{\partial \nu} = C[n]$$

• Collision term:
$$C[n] = \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{\mathrm{BR}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{\mathrm{DC}}$$

• Full equilibrium: $\mathcal{C}[n] \equiv 0 \Rightarrow$ blackbody spectrum conserved

• Energy release: $C[n] \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ thermalization process starts

Compton scattering

Redistribution of photons by Compton scattering

• Reaction: $\gamma + e \longleftrightarrow \gamma' + e'$



Redistribution of photons by Compton scattering

- Reaction: $\gamma + e \longleftrightarrow \gamma' + e'$
 - → no energy exchange \Rightarrow Thomson limit \Rightarrow important for anisotropies



 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \frac{3\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}}{16\pi} \left[1 + \left(\hat{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\gamma}'\right)^2 \right]$



Redistribution of photons by Compton scattering

• Reaction:
$$\gamma + e \longleftrightarrow \gamma' + e'$$

→ no energy exchange ⇒ Thomson limit
 ⇒ important for anisotropies



 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \frac{3\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}}{16\pi} \left[1 + \left(\hat{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\gamma}'\right)^2 \right]$

\rightarrow energy exchange included

• up-scattering due to the **Doppler** effect for $h\nu < 4kT_{e}$

- down-scattering because of *recoil* (and stimulated recoil) for
- Doppler broadening





$$\frac{\Delta\nu}{\nu} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2kT_{\rm e}}{m_{\rm e}c^2}}$$



Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1980, ARAA, 18, 537

• Thomson scattering $t_{\rm C} = (\sigma_{\rm T} N_{\rm e} c)^{-1} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{20} \, \chi_{\rm e}^{-1} (1+z)^{-3} \, {\rm sec}^{-1}$



$$\mathcal{H}_{exp} = H^{-1} \simeq 4.8 \times 10^{19} (1+z)^{-2} \sec \simeq 8.4 \times 10^{17} (1+z)^{-3/2} \sec z$$

Matter dominated

- *Thomson scattering* $t_{\rm C} = (\sigma_{\rm T} N_{\rm e} c)^{-1} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{20} \, \chi_{\rm e}^{-1} (1+z)^{-3} \, {\rm sec}$
- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Comptonization} & t_{\rm K} = \left(4\frac{kT_{\rm e}}{m_{\rm e}c^2}\sigma_{\rm T}N_{\rm e}c\right)^{-1} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{29} \,\chi_{\rm e}^{-1} (T_{\rm e}/T_{\gamma})^{-1} (1+z)^{-4} \,{\rm sec} \\ \\ \text{Compton cooling} & t_{\rm cool} = \left(\frac{4\rho_{\gamma}}{m_{\rm e}c^2} \frac{\sigma_{\rm T}N_{\rm e}c}{(3/2)N}\right)^{-1} \approx 7.1 \times 10^{19} \,\chi_{\rm e}^{-1} (T_{\rm e}/T_{\gamma})^{-1} (1+z)^{-4} \,{\rm sec} \end{array}$



Radiation dominated

$$t_{exp} = H^{-1} \simeq 4.8 \times 10^{19} (1+z)^{-2} \sec \simeq 8.4 \times 10^{17} (1+z)^{-3/2} \sec z$$

Matter dominated

- **Thomson scattering** $t_{\rm C} = (\sigma_{\rm T} N_{\rm e} c)^{-1} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{20} \, \chi_{\rm e}^{-1} (1+z)^{-3} \, {\rm sec}$
- Comptonization





matter temperature starts deviating from Compton equilibrium temperature at z ≲ 100-200



- **Thomson scattering** $t_{\rm C} = (\sigma_{\rm T} N_{\rm e} c)^{-1} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{20} \, \chi_{\rm e}^{-1} (1+z)^{-3} \, {\rm sec}$
- Comptonization





- *matter temperature starts* deviating from Compton equilibrium temperature at z ≲ 100-200
- Comptonization becomes inefficient at $z_{\rm K} \simeq 50000$



- **Thomson scattering** $t_{\rm C} = (\sigma_{\rm T} N_{\rm e} c)^{-1} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{20} \, \chi_{\rm e}^{-1} (1+z)^{-3} \, {\rm sec}$
- Comptonization





- *matter temperature starts* deviating from Compton equilibrium temperature at z ≲ 100-200
- Comptonization becomes inefficient at $z_{\rm K} \simeq 50000$

 \Rightarrow character of distortion should change at z_{K} !

Radiation dominated $t_{\rm exp} = H^{-1} \simeq 4.8 \times 10^{19} (1+z)^{-2} \, {\rm sec}$ $\simeq 8.4 \times 10^{17} (1+z)^{-3/2} \,\mathrm{sec}$

Matter dominated

What are *y*- and *µ*-distortions?

• *Kompaneets equation:*

$$\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \right|_{\mathrm{C}} \approx \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{e}}}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^4 \left[\frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}} n(1+n) \right]$$

• Kompaneets equation:

$$\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \right|_{\mathrm{C}} \approx \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{e}}}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^4 \left[\frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}} n(1+n) \right]$$

• insert: $n \approx n^{bb} = 1/(e^x - 1)$

- Kompaneets equation: $\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{C} \approx \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{e}}}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^4 \left[\frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}} n(1+n) \right]$
- insert: $n \approx n^{bb} = 1/(e^x 1) \implies \Delta n \approx y Y(x)$ with $y \ll 1$

- Kompaneets equation: $\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_C \approx \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{e}}}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^4 \left| \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}} n(1+n) \right|$
- insert: $n \approx n^{\text{bb}} = 1/(e^x 1) \implies \Delta n \approx y Y(x)$ with $y \ll 1$

$$y = \int \frac{k[T_{\rm e} - T_{\gamma}]}{m_{\rm e}c^2} \,\sigma_{\rm T} N_{\rm e}c \,\mathrm{d}t$$

Compton y-parameter

• Kompaneets equation: $\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathcal{O}} \approx \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{e}}}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^4 \left| \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}} n(1+n) \right|$

• insert: $n \approx n^{\text{bb}} = 1/(e^x - 1) \implies \Delta n \approx y Y(x)$ with $y \ll 1$

$$y = \int \frac{k[T_{\rm e} - T_{\gamma}]}{m_{\rm e}c^2} \,\sigma_{\rm T} N_{\rm e}c \,\mathrm{d}t \qquad Y(x) = \frac{x \mathrm{e}^x}{(\mathrm{e}^x - 1)^2} \left[x \frac{\mathrm{e}^x + 1}{\mathrm{e}^x - 1} - 4 \right]$$

Compton y-parameter

spectrum of y-distortion

• Kompaneets equation: $\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{C} \approx \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{e}}}{x^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}x^{4}\Big|\frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}}n(1+n)\Big|$

• insert: $n \approx n^{\text{bb}} = 1/(e^x - 1) \implies \Delta n \approx y Y(x)$ with $y \ll 1$

$$y = \int \frac{k[T_{\rm e} - T_{\gamma}]}{m_{\rm e}c^2} \,\sigma_{\rm T} N_{\rm e}c \,\mathrm{d}t \qquad Y(x) = \frac{x \mathrm{e}^x}{(\mathrm{e}^x - 1)^2} \left[x \frac{\mathrm{e}^x + 1}{\mathrm{e}^x - 1} - 4 \right]$$

Compton y-parameter

spectrum of y-distortion

• if $T_{\rm e} = T_{\gamma} \implies \left. \frac{{\rm d}n}{{\rm d}\tau} \right|_{\rm C} = 0$ (thermal equilibrium with electrons)

• Kompaneets equation: $\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathcal{O}} \approx \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{e}}}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^4 \left| \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}} n(1+n) \right|_{\mathcal{O}}$

• insert: $n \approx n^{bb} = 1/(e^x - 1) \implies \Delta n \approx y Y(x)$ with $y \ll 1$

$$y = \int \frac{k[T_{\rm e} - T_{\gamma}]}{m_{\rm e}c^2} \,\sigma_{\rm T} N_{\rm e}c \,\mathrm{d}t \qquad Y(x) = \frac{x \mathrm{e}^x}{(\mathrm{e}^x - 1)^2} \left[x \frac{\mathrm{e}^x + 1}{\mathrm{e}^x - 1} - 4 \right]$$

Compton y-parameter

spectrum of y-distortion

- if $T_{\rm e} = T_{\gamma} \implies \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \right|_{\rm C} = 0$ (thermal equilibrium with electrons) if $T_{\rm e} < T_{\gamma} \implies down-scattering of photons / heating of electrons$

• Kompaneets equation: $\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{C} \approx \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{e}}}{x^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^{4} \left| \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}} n(1+n) \right|$

• insert: $n \approx n^{\text{bb}} = 1/(e^x - 1) \implies \Delta n \approx y Y(x)$ with $y \ll 1$

$$y = \int \frac{k[T_{\rm e} - T_{\gamma}]}{m_{\rm e}c^2} \,\sigma_{\rm T} N_{\rm e}c \,\mathrm{d}t \qquad Y(x) = \frac{x \mathrm{e}^x}{(\mathrm{e}^x - 1)^2} \left[x \frac{\mathrm{e}^x + 1}{\mathrm{e}^x - 1} - 4 \right]$$

Compton y-parameter

spectrum of y-distortion

• if $T_{\rm e} = T_{\gamma} \implies \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \right|_{\rm C} = 0$ (thermal equilibrium with electrons)

- if $T_{\rm e} < T_{\gamma} \implies down$ -scattering of photons / heating of electrons
- if $T_{\rm e} > T_{\gamma} \implies$ up-scattering of photons / cooling of electrons

• Kompaneets equation: $\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{C} \approx \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{e}}}{x^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^{4} \left| \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}} n(1+n) \right|$

• insert: $n \approx n^{bb} = 1/(e^x - 1) \implies \Delta n \approx y Y(x)$ with $y \ll 1$

$$y = \int \frac{k[T_{\rm e} - T_{\gamma}]}{m_{\rm e}c^2} \,\sigma_{\rm T} N_{\rm e}c \,\mathrm{d}t \qquad Y(x) = \frac{x \mathrm{e}^x}{(\mathrm{e}^x - 1)^2} \left[x \frac{\mathrm{e}^x + 1}{\mathrm{e}^x - 1} - 4 \right]$$

Compton y-parameter

spectrum of y-distortion

• if $T_{\rm e} = T_{\gamma} \implies \left. \frac{{\rm d}n}{{\rm d}\tau} \right|_{\rm C} = 0$ (thermal equilibrium with electrons)

- if $T_{\rm e} < T_{\gamma} \implies$ down-scattering of photons / heating of electrons
- if $T_{\rm e} > T_{\gamma} \implies$ up-scattering of photons / cooling of electrons
- for $T_{\rm e} \gg T_{\gamma} \implies$ thermal Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect (up-scattering)

Temperature shift ↔ y-distortion



$$Y(x) = \frac{xe^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} \left[x\frac{e^x + 1}{e^x - 1} - 4 \right]$$

- thermal SZ spectrum (non-relativistic)
- important for $y \ll 1$
- null at v ~ 217 GHz (x~3.83)
- photon number conserved

$$\int x^2 Y(x) \mathrm{d}x = 0$$

energy exchange

$$\int x^3 Y(x) \mathrm{d}x = \frac{4\pi^4}{15} \leftrightarrow 4\rho_\gamma$$

 direction of energy flow depends on difference between T_e and T_γ






y - distortion

μ –*y* transition

 μ - distortion



• Limit of "many" scatterings

Limit of "many" scatterings ⇒

$$\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \right|_{\mathrm{C}} \approx 0$$

"Kinetic equilibrium" to scattering

• Limit of "many" scatterings $\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} \approx 0$ "Kinetic equilibrium" to scattering • Kompaneets equation: $\implies \partial_x n \approx -\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}}n(1+n)$ $\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} \approx \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{e}}}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^4 \left[\frac{\partial n}{\partial x} + \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}}n(1+n)\right]$

• Limit of "many" scatterings $\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} \approx 0$ "Kinetic equilibrium" to scattering • Kompaneets equation: $\implies \partial_x n \approx -\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\mathrm{e}}}n(1+n)$

• for
$$T_{\gamma} = T_{\rm e} \implies n = n^{\rm bb}(x) = 1/({\rm e}^x - 1)$$

- Limit of "many" scatterings $\implies \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \right|_{\mathrm{C}} \approx 0$ "Kinetic equilibrium" • Kompaneets equation: $\implies \partial_x n \approx -\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\alpha}}n(1+n)$
- for $T_{\gamma} = T_{\rm e} \implies n = n^{\rm bb}(x) = 1/({\rm e}^x 1)$
- any spectrum can be written as: $n(x) = 1/(e^{x+\mu(x)} 1)$

• Limit of "many" scatterings $\implies \frac{dn}{d\tau}\Big|_{C} \approx 0$ "Kinetic equilibrium" to scattering • Kompaneets equation: $\implies \partial_{x}n \approx -\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{e}}n(1+n)$ • for $T_{\gamma} = T_{e} \implies n = n^{bb}(x) = 1/(e^{x} - 1)$ chemical potential parameter ("wrong" sign) • any spectrum can be written as: $n(x) = 1/(e^{x+\mu(x)} - 1)$

• Limit of "many" scatterings $\implies \frac{dn}{d\tau}\Big|_C \approx 0$ "Kinetic equilibrium" to scattering • Kompaneets equation: $\implies \partial_x n \approx -\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\tau}}n(1+n)$ chemical potential parameter ("wrong" sign) • for $T_{\gamma} = T_{\rm e} \implies n = n^{\rm bb}(x) = 1/({\rm e}^x - 1)$ • any spectrum can be written as: $n(x) = 1/(e^{x+\mu(x)} - 1)$ constant $\implies (1 + \partial_x \mu) = -\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\gamma}} \implies x + \mu = x\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\gamma}} + \mu_0$

• Limit of "many" scatterings $\implies \frac{dn}{d\tau} \gtrsim 0$ "Kinetic equilibrium" to scattering • Kompaneets equation: $\implies \partial_x n \approx -\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\tau}}n(1+n)$ chemical potential • for $T_{\gamma} = T_{\rm e} \implies n = n^{\rm bb}(x) = 1/({\rm e}^x - 1)$ parameter ("wrong" sign) • any spectrum can be written as: $n(x) = 1/(e^{x+\mu(x)} - 1)$ constant $\implies (1 + \partial_x \mu) = -\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\gamma}} \implies x + \mu = x\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\gamma}} + \mu_0$ General equilibrium solution: Bose-Einstein spectrum with $T_{\gamma} = T_{\rm e} \equiv T_{\rm eq}$ and $\mu_0 = \text{const} \ (\equiv 0 \text{ for blackbody})$

• Limit of "many" scatterings $\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \Big|_C \approx 0$ "Kinetic equilibrium" to scattering • Kompaneets equation: $\implies \partial_x n \approx -\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\tau}}n(1+n)$ chemical potential • for $T_{\gamma} = T_{\rm e} \implies n = n^{\rm bb}(x) = 1/({\rm e}^x - 1)$ parameter ("wrong" sign) • any spectrum can be written as: $n(x) = 1/(e^{x+\mu(x)} - 1)$ constant $\implies (1 + \partial_x \mu) = -\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\gamma}} \implies x + \mu = x\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\gamma}} + \mu_0$ General equilibrium solution: Bose-Einstein spectrum with $T_{\gamma} = T_{\rm e} \equiv T_{\rm eq}$ and $\mu_0 = \text{const} \ (\equiv 0 \text{ for blackbody})$

Something is missing? How do you fix T_e and μ_0 ?

• initial condition: $N_{\gamma} = N_{\gamma}^{\text{bb}}(T_{\gamma}) \text{ and } \rho_{\gamma} = \rho_{\gamma}^{\text{bb}}(T_{\gamma})$

- initial condition: $N_{\gamma} = N_{\gamma}^{bb}(T_{\gamma})$ and $\rho_{\gamma} = \rho_{\gamma}^{bb}(T_{\gamma})$
- after energy release \Rightarrow ≈ 1.368

$$N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) = N_{\gamma}^{\rm BE}(T_{\rm e},\mu_0) \approx N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) \left(1 + 3\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\gamma}} - \frac{\pi^2}{6\zeta(3)}\mu_0\right)$$

- initial condition: $N_{\gamma} = N_{\gamma}^{bb}(T_{\gamma})$ and $\rho_{\gamma} = \rho_{\gamma}^{bb}(T_{\gamma})$
- after energy release $\Rightarrow \approx 1.368$ $N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) = N_{\gamma}^{\rm BE}(T_{\rm e},\mu_0) \approx N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) \left(1 + 3\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\gamma}} - \frac{\pi^2}{6\zeta(3)}\mu_0\right) \approx 1.111$ $\rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) + \Delta\rho_{\gamma} = \rho_{\gamma}^{\rm BE}(T_{\rm e},\mu_0) \approx \rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) \left(1 + 4\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\gamma}} - \frac{90\zeta(3)}{\pi^4}\mu_0\right)$

- initial condition: $N_{\gamma} = N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma})$ and $\rho_{\gamma} = \rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma})$
- after energy release $\Rightarrow \approx 1.368$ $N_{\gamma}^{\text{bb}}(T_{\gamma}) = N_{\gamma}^{\text{BE}}(T_{\text{e}},\mu_{0}) \approx N_{\gamma}^{\text{bb}}(T_{\gamma}) \left(1 + 3\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\gamma}} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{6\zeta(3)}\mu_{0}\right) \approx 1.111$ $\rho_{\gamma}^{\text{bb}}(T_{\gamma}) + \Delta \rho_{\gamma} = \rho_{\gamma}^{\text{BE}}(T_{\text{e}},\mu_{0}) \approx \rho_{\gamma}^{\text{bb}}(T_{\gamma}) \left(1 + 4\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\gamma}} - \frac{90\zeta(3)}{\pi^{4}}\mu_{0}\right)$ • Solution: $\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\gamma}} \approx \frac{\pi^{2}}{18\zeta(3)}\mu_{0} \approx 0.456\,\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{0} \approx 1.401\,\frac{\Delta\rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\gamma}}$

- initial condition: $N_{\gamma} = N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma})$ and $\rho_{\gamma} = \rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma})$
- after energy release \Rightarrow ≈ 1.368 $N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) = N_{\gamma}^{\rm BE}(T_{\rm e},\mu_0) \approx N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) \left(1 + 3\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\gamma}} - \frac{\pi^2}{6\zeta(3)}\mu_0\right)$ ≈ 1.111 $\rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) + \Delta \rho_{\gamma} = \rho_{\gamma}^{\rm BE}(T_{\rm e},\mu_0) \approx \rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) \left(1 + 4\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\gamma}} - \frac{90\zeta(3)}{\pi^4}\mu_0\right)$ • Solution: $\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\gamma}} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{18\zeta(3)} \mu_0 \approx 0.456 \,\mu_0$ and $\mu_0 \approx 1.401 \,\frac{\Delta \rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\gamma}}$

- initial condition: $N_{\gamma} = N_{\gamma}^{bb}(T_{\gamma})$ and $\rho_{\gamma} = \rho_{\gamma}^{bb}(T_{\gamma})$
- after energy release \Rightarrow ≈ 1.368 $N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) = N_{\gamma}^{\rm BE}(T_{\rm e},\mu_0) \approx N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) \left(1 + 3\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\gamma}} - \frac{\pi^2}{6\zeta(3)}\mu_0\right)$ ≈ 1.111 $\rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) + \Delta \rho_{\gamma} = \rho_{\gamma}^{\rm BE}(T_{\rm e},\mu_0) \approx \rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}(T_{\gamma}) \left(1 + 4\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\rm e}} - \frac{90\zeta(3)}{\pi^4}\mu_0\right)$ • Solution: $\frac{\Delta T}{T_{\gamma}} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{18\zeta(3)} \mu_0 \approx 0.456 \,\mu_0$ and $\mu_0 \approx 1.401 \,\frac{\Delta \rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\gamma}}$ $\implies M(x) = G(x) \left| \frac{\pi^2}{18\zeta(3)} - \frac{1}{x} \right| \qquad \qquad \text{(photon number conserved)}$
- $\mu_0 > 0 \Rightarrow$ too few photons / too much energy
- $\mu_0 < 0 \Rightarrow$ too many photons / too little energy
- $\frac{\Delta \rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}} \approx \frac{4}{3} \, \frac{\Delta N_{\gamma}}{N_{\gamma}^{\rm bb}}$

Temperature shift \leftrightarrow y-distortion \leftrightarrow µ-distortion



$$A(x) = G(x) \left[\frac{\pi^2}{18\zeta(3)} - \frac{1}{x} \right]$$

- important for in the limit of many scatterings
- null at v ~ 125 GHz (x~2.19)
- photon number conserved

 $\int x^2 M(x) \mathrm{d}x = 0$

energy exchange

$$\int x^3 M(x) \mathrm{d}x \approx \frac{\pi^4/15}{1.401} \leftrightarrow \frac{\rho_{\gamma}}{1.401}$$

 can only be created at very early times *y* - distortion

μ –*y* transition

 μ - distortion



y - distortion

μ -*y* transition

 μ - distortion









Photon production processes

• Reaction: $e + p \leftrightarrow e' + p + \gamma$

• Reaction: $e + p \iff e' + p + \gamma$



- Reaction: $e + p \iff e' + p + \gamma$
 - \rightarrow 1. order α correction to *Coulomb* scattering
 - \rightarrow production of low frequency photons

- Reaction: $e + p \iff e' + p + \gamma$
 - \rightarrow 1. order α correction to *Coulomb* scattering
 - \rightarrow production of low frequency photons
 - → include dependence on composition (Gaunt-factors; *simple fits*: Itoh et al, 2000)





Karzas & Latter, 1961, ApJS, 6, 167

- Reaction: $e + p \iff e' + p + \gamma$
 - \rightarrow 1. order α correction to *Coulomb* scattering
 - \rightarrow production of low frequency photons
 - → include dependence on composition (Gaunt-factors; *simple fits*: Itoh et al, 2000)







Illarionov & Sunyaev, 1975, Sov. Astr, 18, pp.413

Karzas & Latter, 1961, ApJS, 6, 167

- Reaction: $e + p \iff e' + p + \gamma$
 - \rightarrow 1. order α correction to *Coulomb* scattering
 - \rightarrow production of low frequency photons
 - → include dependence on composition (Gaunt-factors; *simple fits*: Itoh et al, 2000)





Karzas & Latter, 1961, ApJS, 6, 167



Illarionov & Sunyaev, 1975, Sov. Astr, 18, pp.413

Thermalization inefficient already at z ≤10⁷ with Bremsstrahlung alone!

• Reaction: $e + \gamma \iff e' + \gamma' + \gamma_2$

• Reaction: $e + \gamma \iff e' + \gamma' + \gamma_2$

 \rightarrow 1. order α correction to *Compton* scattering

- Reaction: $e + \gamma \iff e' + \gamma' + \gamma_2$
 - \rightarrow 1. order α correction to *Compton* scattering
 - \rightarrow production of low frequency photons

- Reaction: $e + \gamma \iff e' + \gamma' + \gamma_2$
 - \rightarrow 1. order α correction to *Compton* scattering
 - \rightarrow production of low frequency photons

Important source of photons for photon-dominated plasmas, like our Universe at early times!

• Reaction: $e + \gamma \iff e' + \gamma' + \gamma_2$

→ 1. order α correction to *Compton* scattering
→ production of low frequency photons

Important source of photons for photon-dominated plasmas, like our Universe at early times!

$$K_{\rm DC} \propto N_{\rm e} N_{\gamma} \left(\frac{kT_{\gamma}}{m_{\rm e}c^2}\right)^{-1} \propto (1+z)^5 \iff K_{\rm BR} \propto N_{\rm e} N_{\rm b} \left(\frac{kT_{\rm e}}{m_{\rm e}c^2}\right)^{-7/2} \propto (1+z)^{5/2}$$

- Reaction: $e + \gamma \iff e' + \gamma' + \gamma_2$
 - \rightarrow 1. order α correction to *Compton* scattering
 - \rightarrow production of low frequency photons
 - → DC takes over at $z \approx 4 \times 10^5$

(BR contributes to late evolution at low v)

Important source of photons for photon-dominated plasmas, like our Universe at early times!
Double Compton Emission

- Reaction: $e + \gamma \iff e' + \gamma' + \gamma_2$
 - \rightarrow 1. order α correction to *Compton* scattering
 - \rightarrow production of low frequency photons
 - → DC takes over at $z \ge 4 \ge 10^5$ (BR contributes to late evolution at low ν)
 - \rightarrow computation in the 'soft' photon limit

Important source of photons for photon-dominated plasmas, like our Universe at early times!



Lightman 1981

Double Compton Emission

- Reaction: $e + \gamma \iff e' + \gamma' + \gamma_2$
 - \rightarrow 1. order α correction to *Compton* scattering
 - \rightarrow production of low frequency photons
 - → DC takes over at $z \ge 4 \ge 10^5$ (BR contributes to late evolution at low v)
 - \rightarrow computation in the 'soft' photon limit



JC, 2005 (PhD Thesis); JC, Sazonov & Sunyaev, 2007; JC & Sunyaev, 2012

Important source of photons for photon-dominated plasmas, like our Universe at early times!



Lightman 1981

- → was only included later (Danese & De Zotti, 1982)
- → DC Gaunt-factor and temperature corrections included by latest computations, but the effect is small

Example: Energy release by decaying relict particle

redshift -

difference between

electron and photon temperature

- initial condition: full equilibrium
- total energy release:
 Δρ/ρ~1.3x10⁻⁶
- most of energy release around:

*z*_X~2x10⁶

- positive µ-distortion
- high frequency distortion frozen around z~5x10⁵
- late (z<10³) free-free absorption at very low frequencies (T_e<T_Y)

today *x*=2 x 10⁻² means v~1GHz

Example: Energy release by decaying relict particle



- initial condition: *full* equilibrium
- total energy release:
 Δρ/ρ~1.3x10⁻⁶
- most of energy release around:

*z*_X~2x10⁶

- positive µ-distortion
- high frequency distortion frozen around z~5x10⁵
- late (z<10³) free-free absorption at very low frequencies $(T_e < T_Y)$

Computation carried out with **CosmoTherm** (JC & Sunyaev 2012)

Let's try to understand the evolution of distortions with photon production analytically!















Comptonization efficient!

$$\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{em/abs}} \approx 0$$

- Comptonization efficient! $\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{em/abs}} \approx 0$
- low frequency limit & small distortion $\implies \mu(x,z) \approx \mu_0(z) e^{-x_c(z)/x}$

(e.g., see Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, ApSS, 7, 20; Hu 1995, PhD Thesis)

Comptonization efficient!

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{em/abs}} \approx 0$$

• low frequency limit & small distortion $\implies \mu(x,z) \approx \mu_0(z) e^{-x_c(z)/x}$

(e.g., see Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, ApSS, 7, 20; Hu 1995, PhD Thesis)

 $\mu(x,z) \approx \mu_0(z) \,\mathrm{e}^{-x_{\mathrm{c}}(z)/x}$

chemical potential at high frequencies



at high frequencies







- Comptonization efficient! $\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{em/abs}} \approx 0$
- low frequency limit & small distortion $\implies \mu(x,z) \approx \mu_0(z) e^{-x_c(z)/x}$

(e.g., see Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, ApSS, 7, 20; Hu 1995, PhD Thesis)

Last step: How does $\mu_0(z)$ depend on z?

- Comptonization efficient! $\implies \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \right|_{\mathrm{C}} + \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \right|_{\mathrm{em/abs}} \approx 0$
- low frequency limit & small distortion $\implies \mu(x,z) \approx \mu_0(z) e^{-x_c(z)/x}$ (e.g., see Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, ApSS, 7, 20; Hu 1995, PhD Thesis)
- Use µ(x, z) to estimate the total photon production rate at low frequencies ⇒ know at which rate the high frequency µ reduces

- Comptonization efficient! $\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{em/abs}} \approx 0$
- low frequency limit & small distortion $\implies \mu(x,z) \approx \mu_0(z) e^{-x_c(z)/x}$ (e.g., see Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, ApSS, 7, 20; Hu 1995, PhD Thesis)
- Use µ(x, z) to estimate the total photon production rate at low frequencies ⇒ know at which rate the high frequency µ reduces

$$\implies \mu_0 \approx 1.4 \int_{z_{\rm K}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}(Q/\rho_{\gamma})}{\mathrm{d}z'} \mathcal{J}_{\mu}(z') \mathrm{d}z'$$

- Comptonization efficient! $\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{em/abs}} \approx 0$
- low frequency limit & small distortion $\implies \mu(x,z) \approx \mu_0(z) e^{-x_c(z)/x}$ (e.g., see Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, ApSS, 7, 20; Hu 1995, PhD Thesis)
- Use µ(x, z) to estimate the total photon production rate at low frequencies ⇒ know at which rate the high frequency µ reduces

$$\implies \mu_0 \approx 1.4 \int_{z_{\rm K}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}(Q/\rho_{\gamma})}{\mathrm{d}z'} \mathcal{J}_{\mu}(z') \mathrm{d}z'$$

• µ-distortion visibility function: $\mathcal{J}_{\mu}(z) \approx \mathrm{e}^{-(z/z_{\mu})^{5/2}}$ with $z_{\mu} \approx 2 \times 10^6$

- Comptonization efficient! $\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{em/abs}} \approx 0$
- low frequency limit & small distortion $\implies \mu(x,z) \approx \mu_0(z) e^{-x_c(z)/x}$ (e.g., see Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, ApSS, 7, 20; Hu 1995, PhD Thesis)
- Use µ(x, z) to estimate the total photon production rate at low frequencies ⇒ know at which rate the high frequency µ reduces

$$\implies \mu_0 \approx 1.4 \int_{z_{\rm K}}^{\infty} \frac{{\rm d}(Q/\rho_{\gamma})}{{\rm d}z'} \mathcal{J}_{\mu}(z') {\rm d}z' \qquad \begin{array}{l} {\rm Set \ by \ photon} \\ {\rm DC \ process} \end{array}$$

• µ-distortion visibility function: $\mathcal{J}_{\mu}(z) \approx e^{-(z/z_{\mu})^{5/2}}$ with $z_{\mu} \approx 2 \times 10^{6}$

- Comptonization efficient! $\implies \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{C}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\mathrm{em/abs}} \approx 0$
- low frequency limit & small distortion $\implies \mu(x,z) \approx \mu_0(z) e^{-x_c(z)/x}$ (e.g., see Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970, ApSS, 7, 20; Hu 1995, PhD Thesis)
- Use µ(x, z) to estimate the total photon production rate at low frequencies ⇒ know at which rate the high frequency µ reduces

$$\implies \mu_0 \approx 1.4 \int_{z_{\rm K}}^{\infty} \frac{{\rm d}(Q/\rho_{\gamma})}{{\rm d}z'} \mathcal{J}_{\mu}(z') {\rm d}z' \qquad \begin{array}{l} {\rm Set \ by \ photon} \\ {\rm DC \ process} \end{array}$$

- µ-distortion visibility function: $\mathcal{J}_{\mu}(z) \approx e^{-(z/z_{\mu})^{5/2}}$ with $z_{\mu} \approx 2 \times 10^{6}$
- Transition between µ and y modeled as simple step function











Improved approximations for the distortion visibility function



- high frequency tail not constant µ
- more emission at lower frequency
- faster thermalization ⇒ *visibility lower*
- analytic approximations possible...





JC, ArXiv:1312.6030

What about the µ-y transition regime? Is the transition really as abrupt?

Temperature shift \leftrightarrow y-distortion \leftrightarrow µ-distortion



Temperature shift \leftrightarrow y-distortion \leftrightarrow µ-distortion



Same as before but as effective temperature



Transition from y-distortion $\rightarrow \mu$ -distortion



Figure from Wayne Hu's PhD thesis, 1995, but see also discussion in Burigana, 1991
Transition from y-distortion $\rightarrow \mu$ -distortion



Figure from Wayne Hu's PhD thesis, 1995, but see also discussion in Burigana, 1991

Distortion *not* just superposition of μ and *y*-distortion!



Computation carried out with CosmoTherm (JC & Sunyaev 2011)

Distortion *not* just superposition of μ and γ -distortion!



Computation carried out with CosmoTherm (JC & Sunyaev 2011)





y - distortion

 μ - distortion



 For real forecasts of future prospects a precise & fast method for computing the spectral distortion is needed!

- For real forecasts of future prospects a precise & fast method for computing the spectral distortion is needed!
- Case-by-case computation of the distortion (e.g., with CosmoTherm, JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552) still rather time-consuming

- For real forecasts of future prospects a precise & fast method for computing the spectral distortion is needed!
- Case-by-case computation of the distortion (e.g., with CosmoTherm, JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552) still rather time-consuming
- *But*: distortions are small ⇒ thermalization problem becomes linear!

- For real forecasts of future prospects a precise & fast method for computing the spectral distortion is needed!
- Case-by-case computation of the distortion (e.g., with CosmoTherm, JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552) still rather time-consuming
- *But*: distortions are small ⇒ thermalization problem becomes linear!
- Simple solution: compute "response function" of the thermalization problem ⇒ Green's function approach (JC, 2013, ArXiv:1304.6120)

- For real forecasts of future prospects a precise & fast method for computing the spectral distortion is needed!
- Case-by-case computation of the distortion (e.g., with CosmoTherm, JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552) still rather time-consuming
- But: distortions are small ⇒ thermalization problem becomes linear!
- Simple solution: compute "response function" of the thermalization problem ⇒ Green's function approach (JC, 2013, ArXiv:1304.6120)
- Final distortion for fixed energy-release history given by

$$\Delta I_{\nu} \approx \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{\rm th}(\nu, z') \frac{\mathrm{d}(Q/\rho_{\gamma})}{\mathrm{d}z'} \mathrm{d}z'$$

- For real forecasts of future prospects a precise & fast method for computing the spectral distortion is needed!
- Case-by-case computation of the distortion (e.g., with CosmoTherm, JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552) still rather time-consuming
- But: distortions are small ⇒ thermalization problem becomes linear!
- Simple solution: compute "response function" of the thermalization problem ⇒ Green's function approach (JC, 2013, ArXiv:1304.6120)
- Final distortion for fixed energy-release history given by

$$\Delta I_{\nu} \approx \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{\rm th}(\nu, z') \frac{\mathrm{d}(Q/\rho_{\gamma})}{\mathrm{d}z'} \mathrm{d}z'$$

Thermalization Green's function

- For real forecasts of future prospects a precise & fast method for computing the spectral distortion is needed!
- Case-by-case computation of the distortion (e.g., with CosmoTherm, JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552) still rather time-consuming
- *But*: distortions are small ⇒ thermalization problem becomes linear!
- Simple solution: compute "response function" of the thermalization problem ⇒ Green's function approach (JC, 2013, ArXiv:1304.6120)
- Final distortion for fixed energy-release history given by

$$\Delta I_{\nu} \approx \int_{0}^{\infty} G_{\rm th}(\nu, z') \frac{\mathrm{d}(Q/\rho_{\gamma})}{\mathrm{d}z'} \mathrm{d}z'$$

Thermalization Green's function

Fast and quasi-exact! No additional approximations!



JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552 JC, 2013, ArXiv:1304.6120



JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552 JC, 2013, ArXiv:1304.6120



JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552 JC, 2013, ArXiv:1304.6120



JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552 JC, 2013, ArXiv:1304.6120



JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552 JC, 2013, ArXiv:1304.6120



JC & Sunyaev, 2012, ArXiv:1109.6552 JC, 2013, ArXiv:1304.6120













Physical mechanisms that lead to spectral distortions

- Cooling by adiabatically expanding ordinary matter (JC, 2005; JC & Sunyaev 2011; Khatri, Sunyaev & JC, 2011)
- Heating by *decaying* or *annihilating* relic particles (Kawasaki et al., 1987; Hu & Silk, 1993; McDonald et al., 2001; JC, 2005; JC & Sunyaev, 2011; JC, 2013; JC & Jeong, 2013)
- Evaporation of primordial black holes & superconducting strings (Carr et al. 2010; Ostriker & Thompson, 1987; Tashiro et al. 2012; Pani & Loeb, 2013)
- Dissipation of primordial acoustic modes & magnetic fields

(Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1970; Daly 1991; Hu et al. 1994; JC & Sunyaev, 2011; JC et al. 2012 - Jedamzik et al. 2000; Kunze & Komatsu, 2013)

Cosmological recombination radiation
 (Zeldovich et al., 1968; Peebles, 1968; Dubrovich, 1977; Rubino-Martin et al., 2006; JC & Sunyaev, 2006; Sunyaev & JC, 2009)

"high" redshifts

"low" redshifts

Standard sources

of distortions

- Signatures due to first supernovae and their remnants (Oh, Cooray & Kamionkowski, 2003)
- Shock waves arising due to large-scale structure formation

(Sunyaev & Zeldovich, 1972; Cen & Ostriker, 1999)

SZ-effect from clusters; effects of reionization

(Refregier et al., 2003; Zhang et al. 2004; Trac et al. 2008)

more exotic processes

(Lochan et al. 2012; Bull & Kamionkowski, 2013; Brax et al., 2013; Tashiro et al. 2013)

pre-recombination epoch

Reionization and structure formation

Simple estimates for the distortion



- Gas temperature $T \simeq 10^4 \text{ K}$
- Thomson optical depth $\tau \simeq 0.1$

$$\implies \quad y \simeq \frac{kT_{\rm e}}{m_{\rm e}c^2} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-7}$$

- second order Doppler effect $y \simeq \text{few x } 10^{-8}$
- structure formation / SZ effect (e.g., Refregier et al., 2003) $y \simeq \text{few x } 10^{-7} 10^{-6}$







Fluctuations of the y-parameter at large scales



- spatial variations of the optical depth and temperature cause small-spatial variations of the y-parameter at different angular scales
- could tell us about the reionization sources and structure formation process
- additional independent piece of information!
- Cross-correlations with other signals

Example: Simulation of reionization process (1Gpc/h) by *Alvarez & Abel* Decaying particles




Decaying particle scenarios



JC & Sunyaev, 2011, Arxiv:1109.6552 JC, 2013, Arxiv:1304.6120

Decaying particle scenarios



JC & Sunyaev, 2011, Arxiv:1109.6552 JC, 2013, Arxiv:1304.6120

Decaying particle scenarios (information in residual)

v [GHz]



JC & Sunyaev, 2011, Arxiv:1109.6552 JC, 2013, Arxiv:1304.6120

Decaying particle scenarios (information in residual)

v [GHz]



JC & Sunyaev, 2011, Arxiv:1109.6552 JC, 2013, Arxiv:1304.6120

Decaying particle scenarios





JC, 2013,

Decaying particle scenarios





Using signal eigenmodes to compress the distortion data



- Principle component decomposition of the distortion signal
- compression of the useful information given instrumental settings

Using signal eigenmodes to compress the distortion data



- Principle component decomposition of the distortion signal
- compression of the useful information given instrumental settings
- new set of observables
 - $p = \{y, \mu, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots\}$
- model-comparison + forecasts of errors very simple!









The dissipation of small-scale acoustic modes

Dissipation of small-scale acoustic modes



Dissipation of small-scale acoustic modes



Dissipation of small-scale acoustic modes



Energy release caused by dissipation process

'Obvious' dependencies:

- Amplitude of the small-scale power spectrum
- Shape of the small-scale power spectrum
- Dissipation scale $\rightarrow k_D \sim (H_0 \ \Omega_{rel}^{1/2} N_{e,0})^{1/2} (1+z)^{3/2}$ at early times

not so 'obvious' dependencies:

- primordial non-Gaussianity in the ultra squeezed limit (Pajer & Zaldarriaga, 2012; Ganc & Komatsu, 2012)
- Type of the perturbations (adiabatic ↔ isocurvature) (Barrow & Coles, 1991; Hu et al., 1994; Dent et al, 2012, JC & Grin, 2012)
- Neutrinos (or any extra relativistic degree of freedom)

Energy release caused by dissipation process

'Obvious' dependencies:

- Amplitude of the small-scale power spectrum
- Shape of the small-scale power spectrum
- Dissipation scale $\rightarrow k_D \sim (H_0 \ \Omega_{rel}^{1/2} N_{e,0})^{1/2} (1+z)^{3/2}$ at early times

not so 'obvious' dependencies:

- primordial non-Gaussianity in the ultra squeezed limit (Pajer & Zaldarriaga, 2012; Ganc & Komatsu, 2012)
- Type of the perturbations (adiabatic ↔ isocurvature) (Barrow & Coles, 1991; Hu et al., 1994; Dent et al, 2012, JC & Grin, 2012)
- Neutrinos (or any extra relativistic degree of freedom)

CMB Spectral distortions could add additional numbers beyond 'just' the tensor-to-scalar ratio from B-modes!









Distortion caused by superposition of blackbodies



$$\Rightarrow y \simeq \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)^2 \right\rangle \approx 8 \times 10^{-10}$$
$$\Delta T_{\rm sup} \simeq T \left\langle \left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)^2 \right\rangle \approx 4.4 \text{nK}$$

known with very high precision

Distortion caused by superposition of blackbodies



• average spectrum

$$\Rightarrow y \simeq \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)^2 \right\rangle \approx 8 \times 10^{-10}$$
$$\Delta T_{\rm sup} \simeq T \left\langle \left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)^2 \right\rangle \approx 4.4 \text{nK}$$

known with very high precision

• CMB dipole ($\beta_c \sim 1.23 \times 10^{-3}$) $\Rightarrow \quad y \simeq \frac{\beta_c^2}{6} \approx 2.6 \times 10^{-7}$

$$\Delta T_{\rm sup} \simeq T \, \frac{\beta_{\rm c}^2}{3} \approx 1.4 \mu {\rm K}$$

- electrons are up-scattered
- can be taken out at the level of ~ 10⁻⁹

JC & Sunyaev, 2004 JC, Khatri & Sunyaev, 2012 COBE/DMR: Δ*T* = 3.353 mK

Effective energy release caused by damping effect

Effective heating rate from full 2x2 Boltzmann treatment (JC, Khatri & Sunyaev, 2012)



Which modes dissipate in the µ and y-eras?



 Single mode with wavenumber k dissipates its energy at

 $z_{\rm d} \sim 4.5 \times 10^5 (k \,{\rm Mpc}/10^3)^{2/3}$

- Modes with wavenumber 50 Mpc⁻¹ < k < 10⁴ Mpc⁻¹ dissipate their energy during the µ-era
- Modes with *k* < 50 Mpc⁻¹ cause *y*-distortion

JC, Erickcek & Ben-Dayan, 2012

Average CMB spectral distortions



Absolute value of Intensity signal

Average CMB spectral distortions



Absolute value of Intensity signal

But this is not all that one could look at !!!

Distortions provide additional power spectrum constraints!



Amplitude of power spectrum rather uncertain at k > 3 Mpc⁻¹

improved limits at smaller scales can rule out many inflationary models

Distortions provide additional power spectrum constraints!



- Amplitude of power spectrum rather uncertain at k > 3 Mpc⁻¹
- improved limits at smaller scales can rule out many inflationary models
- CMB spectral distortions would extend our lever arm to k ~ 10⁴ Mpc⁻¹
- very complementary piece of information about early-universe physics

e.g., JC, Khatri & Sunyaev, 2012; JC, Erickcek & Ben-Dayan, 2012; JC & Jeong, 2013

Probing the small-scale power spectrum



JC, 2013, Arxiv:1304.6120

Probing the small-scale power spectrum



Average CMB spectral distortions



Absolute value of Intensity signal

Average CMB spectral distortions



Dissipation scenario: 1σ -detection limits for PIXIE


The cosmological recombination radiation

Simple estimates for hydrogen recombination

Hydrogen recombination:

 per recombined hydrogen atom an energy of ~ 13.6 eV in form of photons is released

- at $z \sim 1100 \rightarrow \Delta \epsilon/\epsilon \sim 13.6 \text{ eV } N_b / (N_\gamma 2.7 \text{k} T_r) \sim 10^{-9} \text{--} 10^{-8}$
- \rightarrow recombination occurs at redshifts $z < 10^4$
- At that time the *thermalization* process doesn't work anymore!
- There should be some small spectral distortion due to additional Ly-α and 2s-1s photons! (Zeldovich, Kurt & Sunyaev, 1968, ZhETF, 55, 278; Peebles, 1968, ApJ, 153, 1)
- → In 1975 *Viktor Dubrovich* emphasized the possibility to observe the recombinational lines from n > 3 and $\Delta n << n!$

First recombination computations completed in 1968!



Yakov Zeldovich



Vladimir Kurt (UV astronomer)

Moscow

Princeton



Rashid Sunyaev



Jim Peebles





Importance of recombination for inflation constraints



Planck Collaboration, 2013, paper XXII

Analysis uses refined recombination model (CosmoRec/HyRec)

Importance of recombination for inflation constraints



Planck Collaboration, 2013, paper XXII

Analysis uses refined recombination model (CosmoRec/HyRec)

Importance of recombination



CITA General Addaption Technology endored Addaption Shaw & JC, 2011, and references therein

Cosmological Time in Years



Dark matter annihilations / decays



- Additional photons at all frequencies
- Broadening of spectral features
- Shifts in the positions

JC, 2009, arXiv:0910.3663





Average CMB spectral distortions



Absolute value of Intensity signal

Average CMB spectral distortions



Average CMB spectral distortions



Absolute value of Intensity signal

What would we actually learn by doing such hard job?

Cosmological Recombination Spectrum opens a way to measure:

- \rightarrow the specific *entropy* of our universe (related to $\Omega_{b}h^{2}$)
- \rightarrow the CMB *monopole* temperature T_0
- \rightarrow the pre-stellar abundance of helium Y_p
- → If recombination occurs as we think it does, then the lines can be predicted with very high accuracy!

→ In principle allows us to directly check our understanding of the standard recombination physics

If something unexpected or non-standard happened:

- → non-standard thermal histories should leave some measurable traces
- → direct way to measure/reconstruct the recombination history!
- → possibility to distinguish pre- and post-recombination y-type distortions
- → sensitive to energy release during recombination
- → variation of fundamental constants

Other extremely interesting new signals

Scattering signals from the dark ages

(e.g., Basu et al., 2004; Hernandez-Monteagudo et al., 2007; Schleicher et al., 2009)

- constrain abundances of chemical elements at high redshift
- learn about star formation history

Rayleigh / HI scattering signals

(e.g., Yu et al., 2001; Rubino-Martin et al., 2005; Lewis 2013)

- provides way to constrain recombination history
- important when asking questions about N_{eff} and Y_p

Free-free signals from reionization

(e.g., Burigana et al. 1995; Trombetti & Burigana, 2013)

- constrains reionization history
- depends on clumpiness of the medium

All these effects give spectral-spatial signals, and an absolute spectrometer will help with channel cross calibration!





Conclusions

CMB spectral distortions will open a new window to the early Universe

- new probe of the *inflation epoch* and *particle physics*
- complementary and independent source of information not just confirmation
- in standard cosmology several processes lead to early energy release at a level that will be detectable in the future
- extremely interesting *future* for CMB-based science!

Conclusions

CMB spectral distortions will open a new window to the early Universe

- new probe of the *inflation epoch* and *particle physics*
- complementary and independent source of information not just confirmation
- in standard cosmology several processes lead to early energy release at a level that will be detectable in the future
- extremely interesting *future* for CMB-based science!

We should make use of all this information!











